# The incidence and antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* species isolated from cloacae of captive veiled chameleons

Silvia Barazorda Romero<sup>1</sup>, Zdeněk Knotek<sup>1</sup>, Alois Čížek<sup>2</sup>, Martina Masaříková<sup>2</sup>, Petra Myšková<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Veterinary and Pharmaceutical Sciences Brno, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Avian and Exotic Animal Clinic, <sup>2</sup>Department of Infectious Diseases and Microbiology, Brno, Czech Republic <sup>3</sup>Veterinary Research Institute, Brno, Czech Republic

> Received June 18, 2014 Accepted April 1, 2015

#### Abstract

Salmonella can be present in the intestinal flora of captive reptiles without clinical disease or it can cause life threatening morbidity. The presence of certain species of Salmonella in reptiles is consistent with them being the source of contamination in some cases of human disease. Thus, Salmonella positive animals can be a potential public health concern even more when strains acquire resistance to antibiotics. The nature and extent of Salmonella harboured by different species of reptiles commonly kept in captivity are not known. The aims of this study were to analyse the incidence of Salmonella species in cloacae as an indicator of the intestinal flora in a cohort of healthy captive bred female veiled chameleons. A cloacal sample was taken from each of fifteen healthy captive bred, adult female veiled chameleons that were housed at a teaching and research clinic. Salmonella isolates were confirmed by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry and positive cases were serotyped by slide agglutination test. Salmonella organisms were detected in 12 chameleons. Eighty percent of chameleons harboured 1 of 4 subspecies and serovars of Salmonella. All strains belonged to the species enterica, predominantly subspecies enterica (91.7 %) and were distributed among 4 different serovars: S. Ago (58.3 %), S. Blijdorp (16.7 %), S. Tennessee (16.7 %) and S. IV  $45:g_{,z_{51}}$ - (8.3 %). Antibiotic resistance to streptomycin was detected in one of 12 Salmonella strains: S. IV  $45:g_{,z_{51}}$ -. Our study extended the list of Salmonella found in healthy captive animals and included serviras S. Tennessee and S. IV 45:g, $z_{s1}$ :- that have been associated with morbidity in humans.

Reptiles, intestinal flora, public health, MALDI-TOF MS

An investigation of the source of Salmonella and the cause of antibiotic resistance is important because of the reports of zoonotic transmission of Salmonella associated with captive reptiles, the impact on human health and the ability of Salmonella to acquire antibiotic resistance (Frye and Jackson 2013). Salmonella is normally found in the intestinal flora of clinically healthy reptiles, and Salmonella positive animals may shed a mixture of Salmonella serovars in their faeces intermittently without showing any clinical signs (Chiodini and Sundberg 1981; Burnham et al. 1998). However, in stressed and/or immunosuppressed reptiles, Salmonella caused bacterial granuloma, septicaemia, pneumonia, nephritis, and death (Onderka and Finlayson 1985). Previous studies have identified Salmonella serovars Agona, Ajiobo, Arizonae, Durban, Ebrie, Enteritidis, Gaminara, Houten, Othmarschen, Pomona, Šimi, Tel-el-kebir, IV 43: $z_4, z_{23}$ :- and IIIb 61: $z_{52}$ : $z_{53}$  in a few healthy captive individual chameleons (Woodward et al. 1997; Geue and Löscher 2002; Willis et al. 2002; Ebani et al. 2005; Pees et al. 2013). To date it is not known whether Salmonella species would be different or more widespread within a collection of healthy captive chameleons. The aims of this study were (1) to analyse the incidence of Salmonella species in a homogeneous group of captive healthy veiled chameleons housed in a research and teaching facility, and (2) to evaluate its antimicrobial resistance to a panel of antibiotics.

Phone: +420 541 562 382 E-mail: silviabr@seznam.cz http://actavet.vfu.cz/

## **Materials and Methods**

## Animals

A total of 15 female veiled chameleons (*Chamaeleo calvptratus*), aged 18 months old and weighing 163 g  $\pm$ 35.39 g, were included in this study. All were captive bred and kept in an isolated experimental facility at the Avian and Exotic Animal Clinic, Brno, Czech Republic. The animals were housed and handled in agreement with the Branch Commission for Animal Welfare of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports of the Czech Republic. Chameleons were kept in small groups of two to four in five glass terrariums ( $75 \times 88 \times 75$  cm) equipped with artificial plastic plants, under 12:12 light/dark regime provided by a flood metal halide lamp (Bright Sun FLOOD Jungle 70 Watts, Lucky Reptile, Germany) at a temperature between 24 °C and 35 °C. The air humidity of 60 to 80% was regulated by spraying the plants with fresh tap water. Chameleons were fed three times a week with iceberg lettuce and crickets dusted with a mix of calcium and vitamin D, (Nekton MSA, Germany). Fresh water was provided ad libitum in clean ceramic bowls. The animals were identified with electronic microchips (Alvic, Alvetra und Werfft GmbH, Austria) administered subcutaneously under a brief alfaxalone anaesthesia (Knotek et al. 2011). The health of all animals was checked daily for 12 months. Two to four months before the study, three chameleons were treated with marbofloxacin (10 mg/kg q24h subcutaneously, for 7 days, Marbocyl 2% inj. ad us. vet. Vétoquinol S.A., France), for the following conditions: chameleon number 11 was hospitalized for 2 weeks due to treatment of a bacterial abscess in the mouth cavity; chameleon number 13 was hospitalized for 3 weeks due to elbow inflammation and chameleon number 15 was treated with marbofloxacin plus ampicillin (given as a bolus of 0.083 grams into the coelom, Ampicilin 0.5 g inj. Biotika a.s., Slovakia) after surgical ovariectomy. The other animals did not have any exposure to antibiotics. Before sample collection all females were clinically examined, including collection of fresh faeces for parasitology, and blood samples for haematology and plasma chemistry analysis. Within the whole period of the study chameleons were clinically healthy.

# Sample collection

Each female chameleon was manually restrained and a sterile cotton swab (Copan Italia S.p.A, Italy) was gently inserted and twirled inside the cloaca. Samples were transported to bacteriological laboratory in Amies transport medium (Copan Italia S.p.A., Italy).

## Cultivation, identification and serotyping of Salmonella strains

Cloacal swabs were placed in a tube with 9 ml of buffered peptone water (Oxoid, Ltd., England) and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h under aerobic conditions. From each tube, 100 µl were inoculated onto the surface of modified semisolid Rappaport Vassiliadis medium (Oxoid, Ltd., England) and incubated at 41.5 °C for 24 to 48 h. Once a grey white turbid zone around the inoculated drop was observed, a loopful of the culture was streaked onto plates of xylose-lysine-deoxycholate agar (Oxoid, Ltd., England) and brilliant green agar (Oxoid, Ltd., England). The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Confirmation of suspected *Salmonella* isolates was performed by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI- TOF MS, MALDI Biotyper, Bruker Daltonics, Germany) in accordance with the user (MALDI Biotyper 3.0 User Manual Revision 2, Bruker Daltonics, Germany). Isolates positive for *Salmonella* were serotyped by slide agglutination testing using two commercial O- and H- antisera (BioRad, France; Denka Seiken, Japan). The serovar identification was carried out according to Kauffmann-White-Le Minor scheme (Grimont and Weill 2007).

#### Antimicrobial sensitivity test

*Salmonella* strains were tested for their sensitivity to 12 antimicrobial agents by the disc diffusion method on Mueller-Hinton agar (Oxoid, Ltd., England) in accordance with the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (CLSI 2013). The following antibiotics (Oxoid, Ltd., England) and concentrations per disc were used: amoxicillin clavulanate (30 µg), ampicillin (10 ppm), cefalotin (30 µg), ceftazidime (30 µg), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), chloramphenicol (30 µg), gentamicin (10 ppm), nalidixic acid (30 µg), potentiated sulfonamides (25 µg), streptomycin (10 ppm), sulfonamides (300 µg) and tetracycline (30 µg). The zone of inhibition around each disc was measured and *Salmonella* strains were classified as sensitive (S), intermediate (I) or resistant (R) according to CLSI standards (CLSI 2013).

# Results

Salmonella organisms were detected in 12 (80%) of the 15 chameleons investigated (Table 1). All strains of Salmonella belonged to the species enterica. We identified 4 different serovars: S. Ago (58.3%), S. Blijdorp (16.7%), S. Tennessee (16.7%) and S. IV  $45:g_{z_{S1}}:-(8.3\%)$ . All but one (S. enterica subspecies houtenae) belonged to the subspecies enterica (91.7%). The distribution of serovars varied between animals and terrariums. The most widespread, Salmonella Ago, was found in at least one chameleon from each terrarium,

and in two terrariums, two animals were positive. The cloacal swabs of three chameleons were negative for *Salmonella*. These animals were housed in different terrariums, separated from each other, and each with an animal that was *Salmonella* positive. Two of the five terrariums showed a high diversity of serovars, with 3 different serovars present in three different animals.

Terrariun	n Female	Antibiotic treatment	Salmonella enterica		Antimicrobial sensitivity
	chameleon	before the study	Subspecies	Serovar	to antibiotics*
A	No. 1		enterica	Ago	S
	No. 2		enterica	Tennessee	S
	No. 3		houtenae	45:g,z <sub>51</sub> :-	R to streptomycin
В	No. 4		enterica	Ago	S
	No. 5		enterica	Ago	S
	No. 6		enterica	Blijdorp	I to streptomycin
	No. 7		enterica	Tennessee	S
С	No. 8		enterica	Ago	S
	No. 9		negative finding		
D	No. 10		enterica	Ago	S
	No. 11	yes	negative finding		
	No. 12		enterica	Ago	S
	No. 13	yes	enterica	Blijdorp	I to streptomycin
Е	No. 14		enterica	Ago	S
	No. 15	yes	negative finding		

Table 1. Salmonella enterica subspecies and serovars isolated from cloacae of female veiled chameleons.

\*Sensitivity (S)/ Resistance (R)/ Intermediate (I) to: amoxicillin clavulanate, ampicillin, cefalotin, ceftazidime, ciprofloxacin, chloramphenicol, gentamicin, nalidixic acid, potentiated sulfonamides, streptomycin, sulfonamides, tetracycline.

Of the 12 strains investigated, only one, *Salmonella enterica* subspecies *houtenae* serovar IV 45:g,z<sub>51</sub>:-, was found to be resistant to streptomycin. This serovar was found in only one chameleon (number 3), but this animal was not one of those that had received prior treatment with antibiotics. Two chameleons (housed separately from each other) were positive for *Salmonella enterica* subspecies *enterica* serovar Blijdorp. Cloacal cultures from these animals both demonstrated intermediate sensitivity to streptomycin. Only one of these, (number 13), had previously received antibiotic treatment (marbofloxacin). Chameleons numbers 11 and 15, previously treated with antibiotics, were both negative for *Salmonella*. Interestingly, animal number 11 was housed with number 13, the treated animal positive for *Salmonella* Blijdorp, described above. Thus, of the three chameleons having received prior antibiotic treatment, two were negative for *Salmonella* and the third was positive for *Salmonella* Blijdorp with intermediate sensitivity to streptomycin.

# Discussion

A large number of different *Salmonella* subspecies and serovars can be found on cloacal swabs of healthy captive chameleons (Woodward et al. 1997; Geue and Löscher 2002; Willis et al. 2002; Ebani et al. 2005; Pees et al. 2013). Our current study of 15 healthy captive female chameleons did not find any of the serovars previously reported, but extended the list found in this species with additional four different *S. enterica* serovars. The different *Salmonella* subspecies and serovars in the current study compared to prior studies likely reflect the isolation of these cohorts from each other. The common finding of *S*. Ago in our research

and teaching facility likely reflects the co-housing of terrariums in the same facility leading to cross contamination.

It has previously been reported that a single reptile or its environment, may simultaneously harbour several different *Salmonella* serovars (Chiodini and Sundberg 1981). The current study found up to three different serovars in the same terrarium, however, only one serovar in each animal. Interestingly, chameleons sharing the same terrarium did not harbour the same *Salmonella* serovars; perhaps this was because reptiles can shed *Salmonella* intermittently and because only one sample was taken from each animal. Alternatively, individual animals may show variable susceptibility or resistance to the broad spectrum of *Salmonella* strains to which they are exposed, leading to opportunistic overgrowth of one particular serovar.

In the current study S. Ago was found in one or two chameleons in every terrarium. Salmonella Ago has previously been described in captive lizards and turtles in Taiwan (Chen et al. 2010). The initial source of Salmonella organisms in our cohort of chameleons is not known. However, we speculate that the high percentage of Salmonella enterica subspecies enterica in general, could result from colonization of the intestines of our female veiled chameleons after ingestion of food contaminated with Salmonella or through carer handling practices. We did not detect the serovars S. Telel-kebir and S. II 35:g,m,s:- in the current study that were previously found associated in pet chameleons with human cases of salmonellosis (Willis et al. 2002; Bertrand et al. 2008). Salmonella Tennessee has been found in humans, in a captive Major skink, Solomon Islands skink and four-lined plated lizard in Belgium, and was reported to be responsible for salmonellosis in humans after contact with a bearded dragon in Germany (Pasmans 2005; Weiss et al. 2011; Pees et al. 2013); and the Salmonella IV 45:g,z<sub>51</sub>:- has been found in humans, in captive green iguanas in Canada, and was reported to be responsible for salmonellosis in humans after contact with a bearded dragon and a gecko in Germany (Woodward et al. 1997; Bertrand et al. 2008). Thus, these Salmonella serovars found in our current study could potentially lead to human disease and thus the animals harbouring them pose a public health risk.

Two of the three chameleons previously treated with marbofloxacin +/- ampicillin, were negative for *Salmonella*. These few data are consistent with elimination of *Salmonella* by antibiotic treatment. The low incidence of acquired antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* strains in the present study might be due to the absence of previous exposure of most of the female veiled chameleons to antibiotics. Our results are in accordance with studies on the acquired resistance of *Salmonella* strains to streptomycin in captive reptiles (Seepersadsingh and Adesiyun 2003; Chen et al. 2010). This cross-sectional observational study was not designed to investigate the development of resistance to antibiotics, and only a small number of animals can contribute to the consideration of this subject.

It is possible that contamination of animals occurred with *S*. Blijdorp that already possessed resistance. The current investigation together with previous studies of captive healthy chameleons shows a high incidence and diversity of *Salmonella* subspecies and serovars in their intestinal flora. Some of the *Salmonella* found are capable of causing morbidity in humans. These findings are of public health concern because of the possible unwitting transmission of *Salmonella* to humans from a reptile that is clinically healthy. The current study utilized chameleons from a research and teaching institute housed in the same facility and exposed to the same personnel and sources such as substrate, furnishings, food, and water. The diversity of *Salmonella* indicates that sources of *Salmonella* in healthy captive chameleons require investigation and control, e.g. of the substrate and crickets used for food. Further research exploring the general applicability of our findings to reptiles in different households and consequently under varied conditions, would better represent the zoonotic potential posed by pet reptiles to their owners and would make an interesting comparison with our isolated collection.

#### Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank to Dr. Corinne Lendon, BSc, BVSc, PGCert L&T, PhD for her valuable suggestions, critical comments and correction of English. Thanks are going to technicians at the Avian and Exotic Animal Clinic for professional care of veiled chameleons and to doc. MVDr. Renata Karpíšková, Ph.D., from the Veterinary Research Institute, Brno, for determination of *Salmonella* serovars. The *Salmonella* serotypization was financially supported by the project LO1218 from the MEYS CR under the NPU I program.

#### References

- Bertrand S, Rimhanen-Finne R, Weill FX, Rabsch W, Thornton L, Perevoscikovs J, van Pelt W, Heck M 2008: *Salmonella* infections associated with reptiles: the current situation in Europe. Euro Surveill **13**: pii=18902
- Burnham BR, Atchley DH, DeFusco RP, Ferris KE, Zicarelli JC, Lee JH, Angulo FJ 1998: Prevalence of fecal shedding of *Salmonella* organisms among captive green iguanas and potential public health implications. J Am Vet Med Assoc 213: 48-50
- Chen CY, Chen WC, Chin SC, Lai YH, Tung KC, Chiou CS, Hsu YM, Chang CC 2010: Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of salmonellae isolates from reptiles in Taiwan. J Vet Diagn Invest 22: 44-50
- Chiodini RJ, Sundberg JP 1981: Salmonellosis in reptiles: a review. Am J Epidemiol 113: 494-499
- CLSI 2013: Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Third Informational Supplement, M100-S23. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA, 199 p.
- Ebani VV, Cerri D, Fratini F, Meille N, Valentini P, Andreani E 2005: Salmonella enterica isolates from faeces of domestic reptiles and a study of their antimicrobial in vitro sensitivity. Res Vet Sci 78: 117-121
- Frye JG, Jackson CR 2013: Genetic mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance identified in *Salmonella enterica*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Enteroccocus* spp. isolated from U.S. food animals. Front Microbiol doi:10.3389/fmicb.2013.00135
- Geue L, Löschner U 2002: Salmonella enterica in reptiles of German and Austrian origin. Vet Microbiol 84: 79-91
- Grimont PAD, Weill FX 2007: Antigenic formulae of the *Salmonella* serovars. WHO Collaborating Centre for Reference and Research on *Salmonella*, Institut Pasteur, Paris 166 p.
- Knotek Z, Hrda A, Kley N, Knotkova Z 2011: Alfaxalone anaesthesia in veiled chameleon (Chamaeleo calyptratus). Proceedings of the 18th Annual Conference ARAV, Seattle, USA, 179-181
- Onderka DK, Finlayson MC 1985: Salmonellae and salmonellosis in captive reptiles. Can J Comp Med **49**: 268-270
- Pasmans F, Martel A, Boyen F, Vandekerchove D, Wybo I, Van Immerseel F, Heyndrickx M, Collard JM, Ducatelle R, Haesebrouck F 2005: Characterization of *Salmonella* isolates from captive lizards. Vet Microbiol 110: 285-291
- Pees M, Rabsch W, Plenz B, Fruth A, Prager R, Simon S, Schmidt V, Münch S, Braun PG 2013: Evidence for the transmission of *Salmonella* from reptiles to children in Germany, July 2010 to October 2011. Euro Surveill 18: pii = 20634
- Seepersadsingh N, Adesiyun AA2003: Prevalence and antimicrobial resistence of Salmonella spp. in pet mammals, reptiles, fish aquarium water, and birds in Trinidad. J Vet Med B Infect Dis Vet Public Health 50: 488-493
- Weiss B, Rabsch W, Prager R, Tietze E, Koch J, Mutschmann F, Roggentin P, Frank C 2011: Babies and bearded dragons: sudden increase in reptile-associated *Salmonella enterica* serovar Tennessee infections, Germany 2008. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 11: 1299-1301
- Willis C, Wilson T, Greenwood M, Ward L 2002: Letters to the editor. Pet reptiles associated with a case of salmonellosis in an infant were carrying multiple strains of *Salmonella*. J Clin Microbiol **40**: 4802-4803
- Woodward DL, Khakhria R, Johnson WM 1997: Human salmonellosis associated with exotic pets. J Clin Microbiol 35: 2786-2790