The role of fish scale derived scaffold and platelet rich plasma in healing of rabbit tibial defect: an experimental study
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Abstract

Fish scale is rich in collagen type I and hydroxyapatite, resembling bone structure. It is readily available, cost effective and can compensate for the limitations of grafting methods such as unavailability, zoonotic disease transmission, and high cost. The aim of this study was to evaluate in vivo the fish scale potential and the possible synergistic effect of platelet rich plasma (PRP) with this scaffold in bone regeneration. Fifteen male white New Zealand rabbits were randomly divided into six groups, each involving 5 limbs. Full thickness bicortical defects were created in the proximal tibia of both pelvic limbs of rabbits. The defect was left untreated in the negative control group. In experimental groups the defect was filled with PRP (group 1), cellular fish scale (group 2), combination of cellular fish scale and PRP (group 3), acellular fish scale (group 4), and a combination of acellular fish scale and PRP (group 5). Fresh fish scales were decellularized to increase biocompatibility and reduce immunity reactions. Decellularization was confirmed by DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole) staining. The microstructure and surface characteristics of fish scales were assessed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Histopathological evaluation of bone healing was performed on day 56. Although there was no significant difference in the bone union among experimental groups, the union was superior in all experimental groups compared to control. Spongiosa and cortex formation were superior in the acellular groups. Furthermore, PRP promoted bone marrow formation. We concluded that fish scale is a biocompatible scaffold with a high regenerative potential.

Keywords: Acellular, fish, collagen, platelet rich plasma, bone

Bone is the most important structural component of the vertebrate body (Buckwalter and Cooper 1987; Sarkar and Lee 2015). Structural and functional continuance of such tissue is indebted to the balanced bone formation and resorption (Liao et al. 2011; Zigdon-Giladi et al. 2015). Numerous bone diseases such as multiple trauma, osteosarcoma, osteomyelitis and osteoporosis are associated with extensive bone damage; bone grafts and biologic scaffolds are required for repairing such defects (McMahon et al. 2013; Wang et al. 2013; Kovach et al. 2015). Finding an ideal bone substitute with suitable physical and mechanical characteristics has been the main focus of researchers heretofore (Zigdon-Giladi et al. 2015). Every year more than 2 million bone grafts are performed, with more than 500 of them in the United States (Liao et al. 2011; Oryan et al. 2014; Campana et al. 2014). Ideal bone grafts should be osteoconductive, osteoinductive and osteogenic. Bone grafts are classified as autograft, allograft and xenograft (Liao et al. 2011; Dimitriou et al. 2011; Campana et al. 2014). Autograft possesses some advantages, however, low availability, prolonged surgery time, haemorrhage and pain have limited its application. High expense, immunogenicity, characteristic changes during provision and diseases
such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and hepatitis impede allograft application (Dimitriou et al. 2011; Campana et al. 2014; Sarkar and Lee 2015). Xenografts are more available and cost-effective than the two aforementioned options (Oryan et al. 2014). Researchers have so far used xenogenic sources such as bovine, ovine, porcine tissues with different preparation methods including demineralization, deproteinization and lyophilization (Dong et al. 2012; Sawkins et al. 2013; Oryan et al. 2014). Xenografts containing collagen and hydroxyapatite increase osteoblast differentiation and enhance osteogenesis, and previous studies have also suggested their biocompatibility (Navarro et al. 2008; Oryan et al. 2014). Risk of immunogenicity, zoonotic diseases and some religious or cultural restrictions have reduced the use of bovine, ovine, and porcine tissues and induced motivation to find other collagen containing biomaterials such as marine sources (Pati et al. 2012; Yamamoto et al. 2014; Silva et al. 2014). A remarkable proportion of fish (75%) accounts as waste, including skin, bone, fin, the digestive system and scales from which collagen is extractable (Silva et al. 2014). Fish scale matrix mostly consists of collagen and hydroxyapatite which are the main components of bone. This similarity makes it a useful bone substitute (Chou et al. 2014; Silva et al. 2014). Availability, abundance and cost-effectiveness are some other advantages of fish scales (Oryan et al. 2014; Silva et al. 2014). Different decellularization methods such as hydrostatic pressure, enzymatic, physical, and chemical processes have been used to reduce reputed disadvantages of xenografts (Hashimoto et al. 2011; Dong et al. 2012; Oryan et al. 2014; To Minh et al. 2014). During decellularization the cellular structure of tissue is removed, however, the complex composition of structural and functional proteins is preserved (Gilbert et al. 2006; To Minh et al. 2014). Recently scientists used orthobiologics such as platelet rich plasma (PRP) to accelerate bone repair. Effectiveness of PRP in bone healing, lowering infection, haemorrhage and pain management has been reported in several articles. However, PRP effectiveness is still controversial and is the subject of interest in bone research (Alsousou et al. 2009; Civinini et al. 2011; Roffi et al. 2013; Campana et al. 2014). The aim of this study was to assess acellular fish scale effect in the healing of rabbit tibial bone defect and evaluate the potential synergistic effect of PRP with this scaffold.

**Materials and Methods**

**Preparation of fish scales**

Fresh grass carp were obtained from a commercial retailer in Iran, stored at 4 °C and immediately transported to the laboratory. Fresh scales were harvested and the adherent tissue and fat were subsequently cleaned with distilled water. The scales were transferred to a salt saturated solution of hydrochloric acid and stored in antibiotic containing phosphate buffer saline (PBS) prior to decellularization.

**Decellularization of fish scales**

Demineralized fish scales were rinsed in distilled water for 24 h. Samples were decellularized in sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), rinsed with distilled water and chemically sterilized in ethanol. Acellular samples were transferred to sterile PBS and stored in -20 °C.

**Characterization of decellularized fish scales**

Decellularization efficiency was assessed using 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) cell nucleus staining and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Samples of decalcified cellular scales and decalcified acellular scales were mounted on slides. To obtain 1000X DAPI stock, 0.2mg DAPI was dissolved in one ml distilled water and the resultant solution was stored at 4 °C in dark. To prepare McIlvaine buffer of pH 7.0, two parts of stock solution A (0.1 M citric acid) and 8 parts of stock solution B (0.2 M Na₂HPO₄) were mixed. Finally, the samples were stained with a combination of 10ml McIlvaine buffer of pH 7.0 and 10ml 1000X DAPI stock. Stained slides were examined using a fluorescence microscope at an excitation wavelength of 350 nm. Scanning electron microscope (SERON AIS-2100, Amirkabir University of technology, Tehran, Iran) was used to examine the surface morphology of the cellular and acellular scales after sputtering with gold ions.

**In vivo biocompatibility, fluoroscopy and histopathological analysis**

All animal experiments were performed according to the guidelines of the Iran Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (SPCA) and were approved by the Iranian Ethical Framework for Animal Research under the
Fifteen male white New Zealand rabbits of similar weight and age were kept in individual cages for 14 days prior to the experiments to allow adaptation to the lab environment. In order to reduce the sample size and involve fewer animals, we included both legs of each rabbit in separate groups. Animals were randomly divided into 6 groups, each involving 5 limbs (Table 1). The defined groups were negative control (left legs of 5 rabbits) with the defect left untreated; group 1 (right legs of the same 5 rabbits included in the negative control group) with the defect filled with only PRP; group 2 (left legs of different 5 rabbits) with the defect filled with raw scale; group 3 (right legs of the same rabbits as in group 2) with raw scale and PRP used as defect filler; group 4 (left legs of another five rabbits) with acellular scale used as defect filler; and group 5 (right legs of the same rabbits as in group 4) with acellular scale and PRP used as defect filler. It should be noted that PRP was added to the right side defect within the groups. Anaesthesia was induced by an intramuscular combination of 40 mg/kg ketamine hydrochloride (Ketamine, Alfasan, Woerden, Netherlands) and 0.2 mg/kg medetomidine hydrochloride (Dorben Vet®, Spain SYVA s.a.u, Spain) and maintained by 3% isoflurane (ÁErrane, Baxter Healthcare Corp, USA). After the clipping and scrubbing of both legs from the mid-shaft femur to the distal tibia, animals were positioned in dorsal recumbency and sterile draping was done. Skin was incised on the dorsomedial region of the crus (Plate VI, Fig. 1A). After subcutaneous dissection and periosteal elevation (Fig. 1B) a full thickness bicortical defect was made in the bone using an orthopaedic drill (number 3.5) (Figs 1C,D). In the negative control group, the defect was left untreated. In group 1 the defect was filled with autologous PRP (Fig. 1E) and then periosteal and skin incision was closed (Fig. 1F). In groups 2–4, the defects were filled with the cellular scale, cellular scale and PRP, acellular scale, and acellular scale and PRP, respectively. Skin and subcutaneous incisions were closed routinely (Fig. 1F). Ten mg/kg enrofloxacin (Razak laboratory, Tehran, Iran) and 0.3 mg/kg meloxicam (Razak laboratory, Tehran, Iran) were administered post-operatively for 3 days. The animals were transferred to well-padded cages for 24 h. It should be noted that no anorexia or discomfort were observed despite the involvement of both legs. All animals were able to walk properly. Similar nutritional and environmental conditions were maintained for 8 weeks. Fluoroscopy of the surgical sites was done immediately after surgery and before euthanasia on day 56 to evaluate bone integrity and proper installation of implants (Plate VI, Fig. 2). Specimens were fixed in 10% neutral buffer formalin solution, decalcified in a hydrochloric and formic acid combination and embedded in paraffin. Haematoxyline and eosin (H&E) stained slides were prepared from successive sections of paraffin blocks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Defined experimental groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative control group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet rich plasma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acellular scale</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Platelet rich plasma preparation

After the clipping of the left thoracic region adjacent to the heart, the animals were anaesthetized with intramuscular injection of 40 mg/kg ketamine hydrochloride (Ketamine, Alfasan, Woerden, Netherlands) and 0.2 mg/kg medetomidine hydrochloride (Dorben vet®, Spain SYVA s.a.u, Spain) and positioned in lateral recumbency. Eight ml of fresh blood were obtained intracardially and immediately stored into acid citrate dextrose anticoagulant vacutainer tubes. Subcutaneous Ringer solution (Alborz daru, Tehran, Iran) was administered to all animals. Total blood cell count was measured (veterinary cell counter, Nihon Kohden, Japan) and collected blood was centrifuged (Sigma, Germany) at 580 × g for 20 min, subsequently single plasma fraction was collected and further centrifuged at 1,304 × g for 15 min. The 0.5 ml bottom content of tube containing precipitated platelets were collected and platelet count was performed on the samples; when it was 3–5 × higher than the baseline platelet counts, the samples were accepted as PRP.

Results

Morphological characteristics of fish scales

The DAPI staining revealed homogenously dispersed cells with blue nuclei in cellular fish scale (Plate VI, Fig. 3). Furthermore, DAPI confirmed a decellularization process and no nuclei were identified in decellularized scales (Fig. 3). Collagen fibres were detectable in both cellular and acellular scales without major structural changes. According to SEM
results, fairly squared well-organized tight layers of collagen fibres were viewed in both processed and un-processed scales. It should be noted that collagen fibres were viewed distracted and teared in some zones due to prior SEM processing (Plate VII, Fig. 4).

**Histopathological and fluoroscopic analysis**

Prepared H&E stained slides were examined by light microscopy. Union, spongiosa, cortex and bone marrow indices were defined based on Korkmaz et al. (2005) (Table 2) and each group was scored for each index. Bone union was superior in groups 2–5 in comparison to the negative control group and group 1. Reorganized spongiosa formation and complete reorganized formation was seen in all groups except negative control and group 1 after two months’ follow up. Cortex index was superior in groups 4 and 5 in comparison to other groups. Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5). Bone marrow development was more favourable in groups 3 and 5. In the negative control group a prominent amount of fibrous tissue was identified at the defect site, and also a cartilaginous callus was present to some extent. Furthermore, bone marrow cavities and scant cancellous tissue were observed (Plate VIII, Fig. 5).

### Table 2. Histopathological results based on bone healing scoring indices (union, spongiosa, cortex, bone marrow).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Evaluation scale</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Negative control</th>
<th>Group 1</th>
<th>Group 2</th>
<th>Group 3</th>
<th>Group 4</th>
<th>Group 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Union</td>
<td>No sign of union</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fibrous union</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Osteochondral union</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bone union</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complete reorganization</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spongiosa</td>
<td>No sign of cellular activity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early bone formation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active new bone formation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reorganized spongiosa formation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complete reorganized formation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>Absence of cortex</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early detection</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initiation of formation</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reorganization in majority</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complete organization</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Detection of fibrinous material</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Defect occupying more than half</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fully occupying the red bone marrow</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adult type fatty marrow</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summation</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
response surrounding fish scale residues was noted in group 3 (Fig. 8). In groups 4 and 5, a significant amount of newly formed osseous tissue containing hypertrophic osteocytes was observed and presence of haematopoietic bone marrow was seen in the newly formed callus; furthermore, osteoclasts were accumulated around acellular scale remnants and an inflammatory response was present (Plate X, Figs 9 and 10). In the histopathological analysis, fish scale generally showed good biocompatibility. According to fluoroscopic images on days 1 and 56 (Fig. 2), no fracture or impaired integrity was seen in any groups and all implants were placed properly in the defect.

**Discussion**

Heretofore different bone graft methods have been used to advance the healing of large bone defects. Among various kinds of bone grafts, xenografts have attracted researchers’ attention in recent years due to the limitations of other methods such as low availability and expensiveness (Campana et al. 2014; Zigdon-Giladi et al. 2015). Develioglu et al. (2009) reported a positive effect of xenograft in the healing of a rat skull defect. Bansal et al. (2009) yielded promising results in the treatment of a tibial plateau fracture with a bovine cancellous bone xenograft. In this study we used decellularized fish scale as a new xenogenic biomaterial.

Collagen as an essential component of the extracellular bone matrix and a connective tissue support is the most utilized biomaterial which is achievable from different sources (Chou et al. 2014; Yamada et al. 2014). In previous studies, mammals such as cattle and swine were the most predominant source of collagen but in recent years the use of such sources is being disputed on grounds of religious reasons and life threatening zoonotic diseases such as bovine spongiform encephalitis, cysticercosis, and tuberculosis. Marine collagen has therefore been introduced as a new source, with scientists all over the world starting to assess its role in tissue healing (Campana et al. 2014; Silva et al. 2014). According to Pati et al. (2012) and Silva et al. (2014), collagen of marine origin is a unique biocompatible and biodegradable source that stimulates cell growth. In addition, it bears a high stretch strength and has low immunogenicity; therefore, it has opened new possibilities in tissue healing. A freeze-dried composite of chitosan, hydroxyapatite and collagen of the sponge *Ircinia fusca* revealed good attachment and proliferation of MG-63 cells (Silva et al. 2014). Pati et al. (2012) utilized fresh water fish collagen for preparing biocompatible scaffolds. In a similar study, Hoyer et al. (2012) reported acceptable proliferation of human mesenchymal stem cells on a composite of mineralized salmon skin collagen and hydroxyapatite. Yamada et al. (2014) showed a positive effect of fish collagen peptides on osteoblastic cell line culture. In a study by Matsumoto et al. (2015), tilapia collagen fibrils were reported to be effective in differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cell-derived osteoblasts.

Although many studies evaluated marine biomaterials *in vitro*, *in vivo* characteristics of these sources have not been completely studied. Fish scale is available at low cost; it is considered as a waste in our country and has so far remained without use. Therefore, here we assessed the potential of cellular and acellular scales of grass carp *in vivo* in a tibial bone defect of rabbits, achieving promising results based on the histopathological results (Table 2). A number of crosslinking methods have been used in order to enhance the mechanical characteristics of collagen. However, this method induced changes in the implant degradation speed (Chou et al. 2014). In addition, toxicity induced by residues of chemical agents such as glutaraldehyde and carbamide has been proven whilst physical methods do not show toxicity meanwhile establishing adequate physical strength (Chou et al. 2014; Yamada et al. 2014). In this study
we preferred to assess the effect of fish scale on bone repair without any subsequent physical or chemical strengthening method. Immunogenic reactions of xenografts confirm the necessity of decellularization in the use of a xenogenic source.

In order to remove the cell cytoplasmic and nuclear antigens while preserving the mechanical and functional characteristics, various enzymatic, chemical and physical decellularization methods have been used (Gilbert et al. 2006; Oryan et al. 2014). For instance, Krishnan et al. (2012) compared the physicochemical and mechanical characteristics of acellular fish scale with the human amniotic membrane for corneal tissue engineering and reported encouraging results for the fish scale. In a similar study, Yuan et al. (2014) used biocornea derived from fish scale and reported high biocompatibility after a long-term evaluation. In the present study, grass carp fish scales were decellularized by SDS and ethanol; decellularization was confirmed with DAPI staining and SEM analysis (Plate VI, Figs 1 and 2).

Processed matrices should become sterilized before experimental use. According to a study by Chou et al. (2014), gamma irradiation induces less injury to collagen fibres in comparison to autoclave meanwhile it has a low effect on elasticity and osteoconductivity of the scaffold. Therefore, in this study we sterilized unprocessed fish scales by gamma irradiation and acellular fish scales became sterilized during decellularization stages in ethanol. No further sterilizing process was needed.

Thamamongood et al. (2012) showed similarity in genetic pathways of intramembranous bone repair and gold fish scale regeneration and introduced it as a promising source in bone healing. In this study we evaluated fish scale as a bone substitute for a proximal tibial defect in the rabbit and our study confirmed fish scale as a biocompatible biomaterial in bone healing based on the histopathological results (Table 2). Chou et al. (2014) reported expressive results after fixation of rabbit femoral fracture with acellular fish scale-derived bone pins.

Growth factors found in healthy bone such as the vascular endothelial growth factor, platelet-derived growth factor and bone morphogenetic proteins may play a role in bone repair (Oryan et al. 2014). It was reported that growth factors can promote proliferation and differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells and increase synthesis and migration of collagen and extracellular matrix. They may also stimulate blood vessels formation (Hakimi et al. 2010; Civinini et al. 2011). Since PRP is an easy obtaining cost-effective source of growth factors, it has been used in combination with grafts and other natural and synthetic scaffolds such as hydroxyapatite, coral skeleton, chitosan, polymers and hydrogels (Roffi et al. 2013; Oryan et al. 2014; Salamanna et al. 2015). Durmuslar et al. (2014) assessed bovine hydroxyapatite, PRP and resorbable collagen membranes for the treatment of intra-bony defects and reported healing acceleration. In another study, Schneppendahl et al. (2015) evaluated an autograft and PRP in a diaphyseal bone defect and obtained desired histomorphometric and radiographic results. Furthermore, Yilmaz et al. (2011) evaluated the healing of intrabony defects after treatment with bovine-derived xenograft combined with platelet-rich plasma versus platelet-poor plasma and similar outcomes were yielded from these two. Since literature review revealed controversial results regarding the role of PRP in bone repair, and the effect of a fish scale xenograft and PRP was not investigated, the authors decided to assess the possible synergistic effect of PRP with fish scale on bone healing in rabbit.

In this study, we used SDS and ethanol in order to decellularize grass carp scale, compromise immune reactions and enhance biocompatibility of fish scale as a xenograft. The decellularization process was confirmed by DAPI cell nucleus staining and SEM analysis. To evaluate biocompatibility, the harvested scaffold was implanted in the rabbit tibial bone defect and according to indices defined in the histological study,
although unprocessed fish scale had an admissible effect on the healing of bone defect, it was inferior relative to acellular fish scale (Table 2). Furthermore, histology results showed a synergistic effect of PRP with fish scale scaffolds, when the main goal is enhancing bone marrow regeneration despite negative results of some other studies (Table 2). Further studies with more laboratory animals and longer periods are required to assess the fish scale regenerative potential and follow the remodelling phase of bone healing.
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Fig. 1. Stages of surgical procedure. A: Skin incision. B. Periosteal elevation and bone exposure. C, D: Tibial drill hole in order to make a defect. E: Platelet rich plasma application. F: Subcutaneous tissue and skin suture.

Fig. 2. Fluoroscopic view of hind limbs on day 0 (A, B) and on day 56 (C, D).

Fig. 3. Morphological and surface characteristics of fish scale before (A) and after (B) the decellularization process.
Fig. 4. Scanning electron microscopy image of fish scale before (A, B) and after (C, D, E) the decellularization process showing a layered collagen structure.
Fig. 5. The cortical defect site in the negative control group stained with haematoxyline and eosin on day 56. The defect site is covered by an extensive amount of fibrous connective tissue (arrowheads) and some cartilaginous callus (× 4). B: Collagen fibres and fibrocyte nuclei (arrows) in fibrous connective tissue, and chondrocytes in the cartilaginous callus (arrowhead) (× 40). C: Bone marrow cavities (arrowheads) and observable scarce cancellous tissue (× 10).

Fig. 6. The cortical defect site in group 1 stained with haematoxyline and eosin on day 56. A: Abundant osteocartilaginous callus is visible (arrowheads) (× 4). B: Chondrocytes (arrowheads) and osteocytes (arrows) at the healing site (× 40). C: Haematopoietic bone marrow has filled bone marrow cavities (arrowhead) (× 10).
Fig. 7. The cortical defect site in group 2 stained with haematoxyline and eosin on day 56. A: Osseous tissue has covered the defect site (× 4). B: Bone marrow cavities (arrowhead) and hypertrophic osteocytes (arrows) are visible in the healing area (× 40). C: Scale residues surrounded by osteoclasts present in bone marrow cavities (× 40).

Fig. 8. The cortical defect site in group 3 stained with haematoxyline and eosin on day 56. A: Osseous tissue is observable at the defect site (arrowheads) (× 4). B: Osseous callus is present at the healing site (arrowheads) (× 40). C: Hypertrophic osteocytes (arrows) and bone marrow cavities (arrowhead) are evident in the newly formed osseous callus (× 40). D: Fish scale residues are confined by osteoclasts (arrowhead) (× 40). E: Macrophages (arrowheads) and lymphocytes (arrows) have triggered an inflammatory response around fish scale residues (× 40).
Fig. 9. The cortical defect site in group 4 stained with haematoxyline and eosin on day 56. A: Considerable osseous tissue is obvious at the defect site (arrowheads) (× 4). B: Newly formed osseous callus containing hypertrophic osteocytes (arrowhead) and blood-filled bone marrow cavities (arrows) are demonstrated (× 40). C: Osteoclasts (arrow) surrounding fish scale residues (arrowhead) are present (× 40). D: Severe inflammatory response with lymphocytes present around fish scale residues is evident (× 40).

Fig. 10. The cortical defect site in group 5 stained with haematoxyline and eosin on day 56. A: Osseous tissue is present at the defect site (arrowheads) (× 4). B: Osseous callus is observable at the healing site (arrowhead) (× 40). C: Hypertrophic osteocytes (arrowheads) and mature bone marrow cavities (arrow) are visible (× 40). D: Fish scale residues in bone cavities (arrowheads) and several osteoclasts are visible (arrows) (× 40). E: Severe inflammatory response with presence of eosinophils (arrowheads), lymphocytes and plasma cells (arrow) (× 40).