Pork meat quality after exposure to low (0.5 Gy) dose of gamma radiation
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Abstract

Farm animals in the immediate vicinity of damaged nuclear facilities (Chernobyl, Fukushima), may be affected by an external radiation dose and a radiation dose from internal contamination. In the experiment, pigs weighing 30 kg were exposed to a full body irradiation (60Co) at a dose of 0.5 Gy. Samples from longissimus dorsi muscles at the last rib and semimembranosus muscles were collected. No significant differences of monitored meat colour indicators L*, a*, b*, C*, ΔE*, pH value, (45 min and 24 h post mortem) lactic acid concentration, water content and fat content (24 h post mortem) and drip loss indicators (24 and 48 h post mortem) between the experimental and control group (10 and 10 pigs, respectively) were observed. If there is no internal contamination, and external radiation dose does not exceed 0.5 Gy, pigs from the affected area may be used for slaughter purposes. The results show that oxidative stress resulting from exposure to this dose of ionizing radiation does not affect the meat quality.
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Nowadays, more and more emphasis is given on studying the effects of low doses of ionizing radiation on living organisms. In the case of a whole body exposure, the imaginary borderline between stochastic and deterministic effects of ionizing radiation on humans and pigs represents a dose of 0.5 Gy. Consequences of farm animals being exposed to ionizing radiation (Chernobyl, Fukushima) affecting food safety are still present (Kostiainen 2007; Beňová et al. 2016). Mainly farm animals in the immediate vicinity of the damaged nuclear facilities may be affected by an external radiation dose and a radiation dose from internal contamination (Ohmori et al. 2014).

Animals in the ex-evacuation zone might have experienced some changes owing to radioactive materials, including contaminated soil, small animals, and insects. It has been demonstrated that some changes in gene expression occurred in the small intestine of wild boar in the ex-evacuation zone after irradiation (Morimoto et al. 2017). It is difficult to conclude that these alterations are caused by only artificial radionuclides from the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant.

External exposure to ionizing radiation causes oxidative stress that accelerates lipid peroxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids liberating alkanes and alkane metabolites (Phillips et al. 2015). Meat represents muscle tissue in a state of degradation. Degradation reactions generate free radicals, especially from unsaturated fatty acids, which are highly reactive. In the muscle, or rather, in meat as such, membranes maintaining mitochondrial integrity (and indeed the entire muscle cell) are more susceptible to the action of radicals, and subsequently to the degradation of cellular components. These processes accelerate metmyoglobin formation and change the levels of myoglobin, haemoglobin and cytochromes, affecting the meat colour (Young and West 2001; Bekhit and Faustman 2005).
Meat quality is, however, affected by many other intravital factors such as stress during the transport and pre-slaughter treatment (Warris et al. 1994; D’Souza et al. 1998), structure of muscle fibres (Ryu and Kim 2005), and nutrition (Lindahl et al. 2006).

As demonstrated in previous experiments where 10,000-fold higher doses of ionizing radiation (5 kGy) were used, the cell membrane’s integrity is directly associated with the drip loss (Dvořák et al. 2004); however, no significant reduction in the tissue enzyme activity was noted (Dvořák et al. 2006).

Because ionizing radiation causes oxidative stress, a higher incidence of meat with an atypical maturation pattern such as PSE (Pale Soft Exudative) or others cannot be ruled out. On the other hand, the actual whole-body dose is important, with relatively lower doses not necessarily causing this negative effect.

The aim of this study was to determine whether a whole-body irradiation exposure to a dose of 0.5 Gy will have a negative impact on selected pig meat quality indicators.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was approved by the Ethics Committee in Slovakia in 2007 (7/2007/EK). A total of 20 pigs of the Slovak Large White breed (Sus scrofa domestica) were included in the experiment. Pigs were divided randomly into two groups of 10 animals (an experimental and a control group). Both groups consisted of gilts and barrows at a ratio of 50:50. At the beginning of the experiment, the pigs were at 2 months of age, weighing 30 kg. They were housed in holding pens (separate for experimental and control groups) with access to daylight, and were reared under standard conditions. During the experiment, a standard pig feed mixture OS 03 for the corresponding age category was administered. Water and food were available ad libitum at nipple drinkers and food dispensers. Pig handling and transport in both the control and the experimental group were identical, except for irradiation. In order to reduce the effects of other stress factors, two weeks prior to irradiation pigs of both the control and the experimental group were repeatedly placed in irradiation cages and to the transport lorry for adaptation. No animal died during the experiment.

The experiment was performed in August. The pigs were mounted in cages appropriate to their size. Anaesthetics were not used during the experiment. The distance was determined by the Chisostat irradiation machine so that the gamma dose was homogeneous throughout the body. Ten pigs from the experimental group were irradiated by a single whole-body dose of 0.5 Gy gamma radiation 60Co, at a dose rate of 0.98 Gy·h⁻¹. The other 10 pigs represented the control group. Irradiation was performed at the Faculty of Science, of the Pavol Jozef Safarik University in Kosice, Slovakia, located 35 km away from the farm by a device CHISOSTAT (Chirana, Czech Republic). Three days after irradiation, the pigs were transported to the slaughterhouse in Zemplínska Teplica (UVMP in Kosice) located just one km from the farm. After a rest period of 3 h at the slaughterhouse they were slaughtered. Electric prods were not used either during the pig housing, or during the loading and unloading. In case of a real accident, the evacuation of pigs is carried out within three days, therefore the same experiment time was determined.

Pigs were slaughtered and samples of the longissimus dorsi (LD) muscle at the last rib and of the semimembranous (SM) muscle were collected.

Muscle (meat) pH value and colour were measured at 45 min and 24 h post mortem. The pH value was measured using the Orion 250 A+ digital pH meter equipped with an Orion puncture electrode. Calibration was performed on three buffers of pH 4.01; 7.00 and 9.00. The pH value was recorded after the automatically measured value got stabilized.

Meat colour was determined in the CIELAB system using the portable Colour-guide sphere spex spectrophotometer (BYK Gardner, Germany), excluding gloss, using a spherical geometry d/8°, D65 as a source of light, the standard observer’s angle set to 10°, and the diameter of the opening being 8 mm. The instrument was calibrated to the food foil prior to measurement.

Meat colour at the cut perpendicular to the muscle fibres was determined by using the mean of the values collected from three separate measurements (CIE 1986). For comparative studies it is essential to maintain a precise instrumentation (Brewer et al. 2001); similarly, in determining the pH value (Henckel et al. 2000).

In order to compare the results, further indicators of the CIELAB system were calculated from the mean values of L* (Lightness), a* (Redness), b* (Yellowness) coordinates (Honikel 1998). The distance between the two points, ΔE* (CIE total colour difference) was calculated according to the formula:

$$\Delta E^* = \sqrt{(\Delta L^*)^2 + (\Delta a^*)^2 + (\Delta b^*)^2}$$

The total colour difference (DE*) aggregate values of all the three above mentioned indicators L*, a* and b*.

The chroma C* is a value that indicates the difference between the respective value of colour and the grey colour, according to the formula:

$$C^* = (a^2 + b^2) / 2$$

Determination of drip loss was carried out by a standard method within the time period from 24 to 48 h post mortem, and also by a modified method using a container (Dvořák and Mikulík 1990; Honikel 1998) within
the time period from 0 to 24 h post mortem. Measurement of the lactic acid concentration was performed 24 h post mortem. The lactate content was determined spectrophotometrically (340 nm) using a commercial kit for the determination of lactic acid. Approximately 500 mg of muscle was homogenized for 30 s in 2 ml of 1 M PCA. Potassium hydroxide (2 M) was added to neutralize the solution, and the final volume was made to 10 ml with distilled water. Following 20 min of refrigeration and centrifugation, the lactic acid concentration was measured (Choe and Kim 2014).

Determination of the water content and fat content was performed according to AOAC 2003 (24 h post mortem).

Two-sample t-test with unequal variances was used for average difference significance testing in both the experimental and the control group. Paired t-test was used for comparing average values at different locations of LD muscle or against SM muscle. Paired t-test was also applied for testing the differences of the values 45 min and 24 h post mortem. Due to the expected variability, a significance level of $P < 0.05$ was determined in the planning of experiments for all hypotheses. Statistical values were calculated by MS Excel.

**Results**

Results are summarized in Table 1. No significant differences between the experimental and control groups in the monitored meat quality indicators were found. The pH values in the control and experimental groups were practically the same for both studied muscles, showing a well-known significant pH decline at 24 h post mortem.

The meat colour indicator $L^*$ at 24 h post mortem substantially increased in all cases. Significant differences ($P < 0.05$) in the meat colour indicator $L^*$ between the LD and SM muscles were found only at 24 h. As common, longissimus dorsi muscles were lighter. For the $a^*$ value there was no significant increase at 24 h post mortem. The $a^*$ value in SD muscles was significantly higher ($P < 0.05$). It corresponds with the more reddish colour of thigh meat compared to the cutlet. The colour indicator $b^*$ was significantly increased ($P < 0.05$) at 24 h post mortem only in the LD muscle. In comparison, in SM muscles the $b^*$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Musculus longissimus dorsi</th>
<th>Musculus semimembranosus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH$_{45}$</td>
<td>6.01 ± 0.08</td>
<td>5.93 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH$_{24}$</td>
<td>5.53 ± 0.02</td>
<td>5.53 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L^*$$_{45}$</td>
<td>43.39 ± 1.16</td>
<td>45.92 ± 1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L^*$$_{24}$</td>
<td>55.48 ± 0.52</td>
<td>54.84 ± 1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a^*$$_{45}$</td>
<td>-1.01 ± 0.31</td>
<td>-0.87 ± 0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a^*$$_{24}$</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.30</td>
<td>-0.73 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b^*$$_{45}$</td>
<td>4.47 ± 0.32</td>
<td>4.77 ± 0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b^*$$_{24}$</td>
<td>6.95 ± 0.30</td>
<td>6.32 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E^*$$_{45}$</td>
<td>37.58 ± 1.14</td>
<td>36.48 ± 1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E^*$$_{24}$</td>
<td>26.78 ± 0.47</td>
<td>27.04 ± 1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C^*$$_{45}$</td>
<td>4.67 ± 0.32</td>
<td>5.04 ± 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C^*$$_{24}$</td>
<td>7.01 ± 0.30</td>
<td>6.46 ± 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (%)</td>
<td>74.81 ± 0.27</td>
<td>76.42 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>3.63 ± 0.29</td>
<td>3.23 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drip loss (0–24h [%])</td>
<td>2.03 ± 0.29</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drip loss (24–48h [%])</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.23</td>
<td>2.06 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactic acid [g·kg$^{-1}$]</td>
<td>14.13 ± 0.69</td>
<td>13.84 ± 0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean - arithmetical mean; SEM - standard error of the mean; $L^*$, $a^*$, $b^*$, $\Delta E^*$, $C^*$ - meat colour indicators (CIELAB); Subscript$_{45}$ - 45 min post mortem; Subscript$_{24}$ - 24 h post mortem
indicator value was significantly higher only at 45 min post mortem; however, at 24 h the differences were no longer significant. Conversely, the ΔE* (CIE total colour difference) indicator in the SM muscle reached a significantly higher value only at 24 h post mortem. The overall decrease of this indicator at 24 h was significant in the LD muscles of both groups and in the SM muscles of the irradiated group. The SM muscle ΔE* indicator decrease in the control group was not significant.

Indicator C* indicates differences in the shade of the grey colour. The semimembranosus muscle, with the exception of the irradiated group, showed a significantly higher indicator C* value at 24 h post mortem compared to the LD muscle. However, by meat aging, an increase in the indicator C* value was only seen in the LD muscle, whereas in the SM muscle the increase was non-significant. Our results also show that regarding colour indicators, the LD muscle is more sensitive compared to SM.

In terms of the methods used, it is interesting to note that the drip loss was virtually identical in both the 0–24 h and the 24–48 h interval.

No significant differences were found between the irradiated and control groups in the water content, fat content and drip loss.

The results of the lactic acid concentration in both the LD muscles and the SM muscles show non-significantly higher values in irradiated pigs. As our study shows, a 0.5 Gy whole-body dose does not negatively affect meat quality indicators.

**Discussion**

Low doses of ionizing radiation up to 0.5 Gy represent an approximate boundary between the stochastic and deterministic effects of ionizing radiation in both humans and pigs. Stochastic effect in particular cause a higher incidence of oncological diseases, which in the case of slaughter animals has no practical impact. However, these doses may already represent an oxidative stress.

In general, any stress (transport, pre-slaughter activities, etc.) represents a key factor of the PSE meat defects (Van de Perre et al. 2010), which are in particular reflected in the changes of: pH indicators (Scheffler and Gerrard 2007), meat colour, drip juice loss (Šimek et al. 2004; Kameník et al. 2018), and lactic acid concentrations.

Changes of the above mentioned indicators could be related to changes in the cell protein profile after exposure to ionizing radiation. For example: PUMA (p53 upregulated modulator of apoptosis) regulates apoptosis by controlling the permeability of the external mitochondrial membrane and is changed already at a dose of 0.5 Gy (Zhang et al. 2001).

In fresh red meat, myoglobin occurs in three chemical forms. Surface colour changes of meat are initiated by meat exposure to oxygen, and among other things, are caused by changes in the content of the chemical forms of myoglobin, e.g. oxygenated myoglobin (oxymyoglobin), oxidized myoglobin (metmyoglobin), and reduced myoglobin (deoxymyoglobin) (Karamucki et al. 2011). Pink deoxymyoglobin, after exposure to air, is rapidly oxidized to red coloured oxymyoglobin, which is sequentially oxidized to brown metmyoglobin (Bekhit and Faustman 2005; Pavelková and Flimelová 2012).

The activity of the metmyoglobin reductase enzyme can reduce brown metmyoglobin to pinkish-red deoxymyoglobin. The factors affecting redox activity include the process of animals feeding and their opportunity to move, temperature, time, pH, oxidation of lipids, oxygen content, presence of different chemical elements, influence of light and nucleotides, species diversity and difference in muscles of harvested animals (Livingston and Brown 1981). A decrease in the metmyoglobin reductase activity cannot be ruled out, as the activity of some other enzymes has been demonstrated in the same experiment (Smutná et al. 2013). Scheffler and Gerrard (2007) pointed out the crucial importance of enzymes in post mortem energy metabolism.
The higher values of ΔE* and C* indicators at 24 h correspond to the meat aging of the LD muscle. Exposure of pigs to radiation had no significant effect on the value of these indicators (Table 1). Absolute values of lactic acid concentration or colour indicators in our study differ from findings of others authors (Choe et al. 2008; Kameník et al. 2018).

Advanced technologies of pig rearing should prevent significant internal contamination of pigs with radionuclides. Affected pigs can be transported to distant slaughterhouses and used for further processing after standard decontamination by water showering (Petäjä et al. 1992). It can be assumed that during an accident of a nuclear power plant, animals (pigs) from the farms located in the power plant protected zone, will not be exposed to whole body irradiation exceeding a dose of 0.5 Gy.

Thanks to modern technologies in pig farming, it is possible for a certain time period to significantly reduce internal contamination of slaughter pigs by radionuclides. Additionally, even in internally contaminated pigs, there is a possibility to reduce internal contamination by the use of common meat processing technologies (Jandl et al. 1989; Dvořák et al. 2008).

In conclusion, total body exposure of pigs to irradiation by a single whole-body dose of 0.5 Gy does not affect the quality of pork meat. In a case of a nuclear accident, unless there is no internal contamination, and the effective dose does not exceed 0.5 Gy, pigs from the exposed area may be used for meat production.
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