Nephrotoxic Effect of Amphotericin B Administered in Different Doses and Infusion Mode in Dogs
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Abstract


In this study, nephrotoxic effect of Amphotericin B (AmB) was investigated together with clinical, biochemical, and histopathological findings in dogs intoxicated with different doses and methods of administration. 18 healthy cross-bred dogs were allocated to three groups. Amphotericin B diluted with sterile water was used. Dogs in group A (n = 6) were treated with 0.5 mg/kg AmB in 25 ml 5% dextrose as a rapid bolus (4-5 min). Dogs in group B (n = 6) were treated with 1 mg/kg AmB in 50 ml 5% dextrose as a rapid bolus (4-5 min). Dogs in group C (n = 6) were treated with 2 mg/kg AmB in 1000 ml 5% dextrose as a slow infusion (4-5 h). Clinical, haematological and biochemical analyses were made in all dogs before the experiment as well as on the 5th and 12th days. Haematological and biochemical values recorded before the experiment were compared with those on the 5th and 12th day.

After the third day of the experiment, vomiting, diarrhoea, anorexia, fever, phlebitis, irritability and tachycardia were recorded in all dogs (Groups A, B and C). However, the symptoms recognised were minimum in Group C, moderate in Group A and severe in Group B. There were differences in biochemical values among groups (p < 0.001). Group B was different from Group A and C in haematological parameters (p < 0.05). The most severe histopathologic changes were observed in Group B animals. These results suggest that the toxicity of AmB on kidney could be decreased when it is administered in a long period and more diluted form.
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Systemic mycotic infections in dogs and cats are common and are difficult to treat successfully (Randall et al. 1996). Since its discovery in 1953, Amphotericin B (AmB) has remained the drug of choice for the treatment of serious systemic fungal infections (Graybill and Craven 1983). AmB is a polyene antibiotic produced by Streptomyces nodosus, an actinomycete which was isolated from a soil sample obtained at Tembladora on the Orinoco River in Venezuela by Gold et al. (1956). It is a potent intravenous antifungal agent for treatment of blastomycosis, histoplasmosis, cryptococcosis, coccidiomycosis, candidiasis, torulopsis, aspergillosis, mucormycosis and has limited activity against the protozoa, Leishmaniosis and Naegleriosis (Gale 1984; Brajtburg et al. 1990; Bennett 1991; Andreile 2000; Yardley and Croft 2000). The drug has no antibacterial activity (Bennett 1991).

The antifungal activity of AmB is dependent on the drugs binding to cell membrane sterols. AmB binds more avidly to ergosterol, the principal sterol in fungal membranes. By binding to ergosterol, AmB causes pores or channels to form in the fungal cell membrane, allowing leakage of a variety of small molecules with eventual cell death (Lampen 1969; Gale 1984; Yu et al. 1998).

Elimination of the drug occurs primarily through renal route. In dogs and cats as in humans, the most common and dose-limiting side effect of AmB is severe nephrotoxicosis (Perfect et al. 1991; Carlson and Condon 1994; Randall et al. 1996; Bekersky et
AmB treatment frequently must be discontinued because of development of nephrotoxicosis, thereby preventing administration of a full therapeutic dose of the drug (Maddux and Barriere 1980; Denning and Stevens 1990; Randall et al. 1996; Bekersky et al. 1999). The mechanisms responsible for AmB nephrotoxicity remain incompletely understood, but clearly involve reduction in renal blood flow and glomerular filtration rate (Sabra et al. 2001; Monteiro et al. 1993). Other important effect of AmB is on renal tubules which were proved histopathologically (Rubin et al. 1989; Bennett 1991; Bekersky et al. 1999). Multiple approaches have been considered for reduction of nephrotoxicity of AmB. These include saline loading, simultaneous treatment with furosemide, aminophylline, mannitol, or fenoldopam, incorporation of AmB into liposomes and administration of AmB in 5% dextrose solutions (Gerkins and Branch 1980; Gerkins et al., 1983; Arning and Scharf 1989; Joly et al. 1989; Rubin et al. 1989; Nichols et al. 1992; Oliva et al. 1995; Randall et al. 1996, Bekersky et al. 1999; Bekersky et al. 2000). The aim of this study was to investigate the toxic effects of AmB in different concentrations and doses at different infusion times.

### Materials and Methods

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Yuzuncu Yil University, Faculty of Medicine.

In this study, 18 healthy cross-bred dogs aged between 1 to 3 years and weighing 8-20 kg were allocated into three groups (n = 6). AmB was given and in rapid infusion in Group A and B, and slow infusion manner in Group C. Animals were housed in individual cages in temperature and humidity controlled rooms, fed daily, and allowed free access to water throughout the study. Animals were acclimatized for two weeks prior to study, and were determined to be healthy on the basis of physical examination, complete blood count (CBC), serum biochemical profile, and urinalysis.

Amphotericin B (Fungizone, SQUIBB, USA) was reconstituted with sterile water immediately prior to administration. This solution was diluted with 5% dextrose solution. AmB was given to dogs during six alternate days. Dogs in group A (n = 6) were treated with 0.5 mg/kg AmB in 25 ml 5% dextrose as a rapid bolus (4-5 min). Dogs in group B (n = 6) were treated with 1 mg/kg AmB in 50 ml 5% dextrose as a rapid bolus (4-5 min). Dogs in group C (n = 6) were treated with 2 mg/kg AmB in 1000 ml 5% dextrose as a slow infusion (4-5 h).

The dogs were observed several times a day for signs of intoxication. Body weights were recorded before the study and on each treatment day.

Blood samples for sodium (Na), potassium (K), chloride (Cl), calcium (Ca), albumin, protein, blood urea-nitrogen (BUN), creatinin and red blood cell (RBC), haemoglobin (Hb), hematocrit (PCV), white blood cell (WBC), platelet (Plt) evaluation were obtained from cephalic vein before the study and on the 5th and 12th days of study. Biochemical and haematological parameters were evaluated by autoanalyser (Roche-Hitachi P800, Japan) and blood cell counter (Coulter MAXM, USA), respectively.
Urine samples collected by catheterization prior to initial dosing and on the 5th and 12th days of study for culture, specific gravity and gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT) values were evaluated by autoanalyser (Roche-Hitachi P800, Japan).

At the day after the final dose was administered two animals that were the worst for evaluated biochemical, haematological and histopathological parameters in each group were sacrificed by exsanguination under sodium pentobarbital anesthesia. Necropsy was performed on all sacrificed animals and the samples of kidneys were collected and fixed in 10% phosphate buffered formalin. The kidney tissue sections were stained with haematoxylin and eosin (HE) and examined microscopically. Observed histopathological changes were graded for severity on a three degree (minimal, moderate and severe) base.

Statistical Analysis

Results are presented as means ± S.E.M. The statistical significance of differences between groups (A, B and C) was evaluated using analysis of variance with repeated measures, and a paired Student’s t-test. Comparison of three groups with each other was performed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Groups with significant differences were compared with post-hoc Tukey test (Hayran and Ozdemir 1995).

Results

Before the experiment, the dogs were clinically healthy and their haematological and biochemical indices were within physiological ranges. Vomiting, diarrhea, anorexia, fever, phlebitis, depression, irritability, debility, weight loss and tachycardia were observed beginning on the 3rd day of drug administration. These symptoms were less severe in Group A and C, whereas more pronounced in Group B.

In Group B, the difference of erythrocyte count and haemoglobin level was significantly lower when compared to the basal levels on the 12th day \( (p < 0.05, p < 0.001) \) (Table 1).

In this group, whereas the difference in platelet count was not significant, haematocrit and WBC count decreased significantly both on the 5th and the 12th days \( (p < 0.05, p < 0.001, \text{ respectively}) \). No significant alterations in these parameters were noted in Groups A and C (Table 1).

The biochemical parameters differed significantly \( (p < 0.05) \) on the 12th day, except Ca in group A. All parameters except Ca in Group A and Cl in Group C were significantly different on the 5th day (Table 2).
Table 3 and 4 show GGT values and urine specific gravity, respectively.

Histopathological changes in the kidney included vacuolar degeneration in tubules, nuclear loss in epithelial cells in the same proximal tubules, mineralized focuses in the cytoplasm, basophilic cylinder in collecting tubule lumens and vacuolar degeneration in the juxtaglomerular cells. Histopathological changes observed in Group B were more pronounced than in others groups.

**Discussion**

Nephrotoxic effects of some drugs used for treatment of infectious diseases in the dog have been known (Randal et al. 1996; Bekersky et al. 1999). AmB is associated with panoply of acute and chronic side effects, the most important of which is renal impairment with reduction in glomerular filtration rate (GFR) and irreversible renal tubular damage (Pyle 1981; Rubin et al. 1989; Sabra et al. 1990; Monteiro et al. 1993; Bekersky et al. 1999; Sabra et al. 2001). In many cases, the dose and duration of AmB use are limited by toxicity rather than by the clinical status of the patient (Maddux and Barriere 1980; Denning and Stevens 1990; Randal et al. 1996; Bekersky et al. 1999).

It was aimed to investigate the toxic effects of AmB in different doses and dilutions with 5% dextrose. In three groups, side effects of AmB such as vomiting, diarrhoea, anorexia, fever, phlebitis, irritability, weight loss and tachycardia were seen. All these side effects were similar to those of previously reported studies (Rubin et al. 1989; Randal et al. 1996; Bekersky et al. 1999; Eriksson et al. 2001). Vomiting may be attributable to the rapid infusion (4-5 min) of the drug which was not tolerated by dogs. Similar results had previously been reported by Randal (1996), Rubin et al. (1989), Bekersky et al. (1999) and Hoeprich et al. (1985).

Hyperaemia of the mucous membranes, haemorrhagic diarrhoea and tachycardia were observed in all dogs on the seventh day of the experiment. However, the observed symptoms were more pronounced in Groups B and C. These findings are in agreement with those of other investigators (Swanson and Cook 1977; Pyle 1981; Hoeprich et al. 1985). Weight loss in healthy dogs treated with AmB was an important finding (Rubin et al. 1989; Bekersky et al. 1999). In the present study, body weight loss was also seen in the dogs, and it possibly resulted from the drug-induced reduction in food intake. Similar results were reported by other researchers (Pyle 1981; Hoeprich et al. 1985; Rubin et al. 1989; Bekersky et al. 1999).

Significant changes in associated haematological parameters (RBC, Hb, Hct, WBC, PLT) were not found in groups A and C, and there were significant decreases in haematological parameters only in Group B ($p < 0.05$). In clinical experience, anaemia is virtually a constant feature of treatment with AmB. Gastrointestinal bleeding, bone marrow suppression, erythropoiesis depression, and haemolysis are the principal mechanisms implicated in the production of anaemia (Swanson and Cook 1977). Juliano et al. (1987) showed that erythrocytes were much more susceptible to the membrane perturbing effects of AmB.
AmB is highly haemolytic (Yu et al. 1998). These situations could be explained by the result of nephrotoxic effect of AmB. We have found similar findings in Group B, which was treated with 1 mg·kg⁻¹ in 50 ml 5% dextrose as a rapid bolus (4-5 min).

Urine GGT levels increased in our study in all groups. This suggests that increased urine GGT level showed early renal damage (Uechi et al. 1994). Also Uechi et al. (1994) found very high levels of urine GGT values similar to our results.

There is a significant decrease in urinary osmolality due to probably the decrease in renal blood flow (Monteiro et al. 1993). However, these authors found that AmB produced a significant decrease in urine osmolality of dogs in which the drug was administered directly into the renal artery. In our study, decrease of urine specific gravity value was more pronounced in Group B which was treated with rapid bolus (4-5 min) than Group A and Group C (Table 3). In other two studies decreased urine specific gravity was also reported after administration AmB to dogs (Fielding et al. 1992; Bekersky et al. 1999).

We have found increased BUN and creatinin levels which were the most prominent on 12th day. Although serum electrolytes, total protein and albumin levels decreased through 12 days in all groups, there were no differences in any of these parameters (Table 2). These findings were in agreement with the literature (Bennett 1991; Bekersky et al. 1999; Eriksson et al. 2001).

Electrolyte imbalance may be due to gastrointestinal side effects of the drug such as vomiting and diarrhoea. Additionally, hypocalcemic effect of AmB is well-known (Bennett 1991; Eriksson et al. 2001). The most important toxic effects of AmB are renal dysfunction. Elevated BUN and creatinine are the most common findings of renal failure. In all of the three study groups, BUN and creatinine levels were increased, however slow infusion group was the least influenced although the highest dose of the drug was applied.

Renal histopathological changes were minimal in group C, moderate in group A and severe in group B, because of dose-related effect of Amphotericin-B. The changes observed in this study, characterized by renal tubular nephrosis, nephrocalcinosis, tubular vacuolization and necrosis, were similar to those reported in dogs by Rubin et al. (1989) and Bekersky et al. (1999). Apparently, biochemical alterations recorded in our study are indications of these histopathological findings. It is, therefore, necessary to monitor biochemical parameters during AmB treatment in order to prevent some unwanted results. These results suggest that the toxicity of AmB for kidney could be decreased when administered in a long period and more diluted form.
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