Early Ontogeny, Growth and Mortality of Common Carp (Cyprinus carpio) at Low Concentrations of Dimethyl Sulfoxide
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Abstract

Dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) is an important polar aprotic solvent, less toxic than other members of this class. Because of its excellent solvating power, DMSO is frequently used as solvent for chemical reactions involving salts, especially Finkelstein reactions and other nucleophilic substitutions. Furthermore, DMSO is used as auxiliary substance in toxicity tests on aquatic organisms, usually at concentrations of 0.2 and 0.5 ml·l⁻¹. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the effect of DMSO on early development, growth and mortality of early life stages in common carp. Tests were performed from fertilized egg to the end of the larval period with continuous exposure to DMSO at concentrations of 0.2, 0.5, 1.0 and 5.0 ml·l⁻¹. Mortality of embryos and larvae, intensity of development and growth, weight and occurrence of abnormalities were analyzed. DMSO exposure at all the tested concentrations did not result in any lethal effects or abnormalities compared to the control over the entire test period (29 days). Growth indicators were similar in DMSO treated (at concentrations of 0.2, 0.5 and 1.0 ml·l⁻¹) and control groups. However, mean body weight (35 ± 9 mg) of larvae exposed to the highest DMSO concentration (5 ml·l⁻¹) tested was significantly lower compared to the control (45 ± 14 mg).

DMSO, auxiliary substance, toxicity, fish embryos and larvae

Aquatic toxicology tests are designed for readily water soluble substances. However, due to the occurrence of several water-insoluble substances, the use of various solvents is needed. A major concern in toxicology studies is the choice of appropriate solvents. Carrier solvents may also have toxic effects on organisms (Hallare et al. 2006; Ball 1966; Rayburn and Fisher 1997; Benville 1968). Hence, it was necessary to set guidelines that will describe the types and the maximum allowable concentrations of the solvent to be used in experimental systems that will cause no significant impact on the observed results (Hallare et al. 2006).

Dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) is commonly used in fish toxicity tests as a solvent for several water-insoluble substances (Rufli et al. 1998; Rayburn et al. 1991). DMSO is a colourless hygroscopic liquid that is synthesized by the oxidation of dimethyl sulphide, or originates as a waste product from wood pulp processing. Its chemical structure creates extraordinary properties. The sulphur-oxygen bond determines the polar nature of DMSO with the capability to dissolve sparingly soluble substances, and it is completely miscible in water, common organic solvents and unsaturated hydrocarbons (Willhite and Katz 1984). Furthermore, the chemical structure of DMSO enables it to penetrate membrane barriers by increasing the permeability without affecting the morphologic characteristics of the skin and body membranes. Therefore, DMSO acts as a skin penetration enhancer for drugs and other substances (Kligman 1965a; Kligman 1965b; Jacob et al. 1964; Stoughton and Frtisch 1964). The main applications and properties of DMSO were summarized in reviews by Yu and Quinn (1994) and Hutchinson et al. (2006).
Because of its excellent properties it is also used as a cryoprotectant in cryopreservation of fish and invertebrate embryos (Cabrita et al. 2003). Most cryoprotectants have a toxic effect on cells to a certain extent. With DMSO known to be harmful to some cells, it was important to determine the optimum intracellular concentration of cryoprotectant (Suzuki et al. 1995). Also, as some studies showed that cryoprotectant toxicity is species-dependent (Cabrita et al. 2006), the toxicity of DMSO has been evaluated on different species of water organisms (Rayburn and Fisher 1997; Chao et al. 1994; Pillai et al. 2001; Ding et al. 2007; Lahnsteiner 2008). On the other hand, DMSO has already been reported to be inappropriate as a solvent in an in vitro study due to its genotoxicity (Herbold et al. 1998).

The current study aimed to assess the effect of low concentrations of DMSO on mortality, growth and early ontogeny of common carp, and to evaluate the suitability of this substance for use in tests at the early life stage of this fish species.

**Materials and Methods**

**Test substance**

The chemical compound DMSO (dimethyl sulphoxide for SP spectroscopy uvasol), with a purity ≥ 99.8%, was purchased from Merck KGaA (Germany).

**Dilution water**

The dilution water used was aerated tap water with the following quality indicators: dissolved oxygen > 60%, temperature 19–22 °C, ANC4.5 (acid neutralization capacity): 1.15 mmol·l⁻¹ CODMn (chemical oxygen demand): 1.5 mg·l⁻¹, total ammonia: 0.04 mg·l⁻¹ N-(NH₄)₂ + NH₃, sum of Ca²⁺ + Mg²⁺: 14 mg·l⁻¹.

**Test organisms**

For embryo-larval tests on common carp, embryos from the breeding operations of the Dept. of Fish Genetics and Breeding of the Research Institute of Fish Culture and Hydrobiology at University of South Bohemia in Vodňany were used. Fish were reproduced according to standard methods of artificial reproduction as described by Kocour et al. (2005).

**Embryo-larval test on common carp (Cyprinus carpio)**

The procedure was based on the OECD 210 Guideline for Testing of Chemicals (Fish, Early-Life Stage Toxicity Test). At 24 h post-fertilization, unfertilized eggs were discarded, and 100 eggs were randomly transferred into each of 15 crystallization basins containing 700 ml of the appropriate test solution. Triplicate groups of 100 fish for each of six test conditions were used as follows:

- Group 1: 0.2 ml·l⁻¹
- Group 2: 0.5 ml·l⁻¹
- Group 3: 1.0 ml·l⁻¹
- Group 4: 5.0 ml·l⁻¹
- Group C: control group, tap water without DMSO

Test baths were gently aerated at a constant rate, and pH, water temperature and water oxygen saturation were monitored on a daily basis.

After swim-up and yolk resorption, the larvae were fed ad libitum twice daily with freshly hatched Artemia salina. Observations and bath changes were made twice daily after feeding, and dead larvae recorded and removed. Mortality rate was monitored, with cumulative mortality and survival rates calculated. During and at the end of the test (T5, T15, T21 and T29 [T = time of the test, number = age of fish in days], T0 = fertilization of eggs) samples of embryos and larvae were collected and fixed in 4% formaldehyde. Total test duration was 29 days when the majority of fish from the control group had reached the last larval step (L6).

Sampled organisms were examined to monitor their early ontogeny, the occurrence of morphological abnormalities (malformations), intensity of longitudinal and weight growth, and Fulton’s weight condition factor (FWC). Length of organisms was measured under a stereomicroscope (Olympus SZ61/SZ51) using a micrometer (an accuracy of 0.01 mm), and weight by using lab balances Mettler (an accuracy of 0.1 mg). All indicators were measured individually.

**Growth rate evaluation**

The mean specific growth rate (SGR) for fish in each of the experimental groups was calculated for the period of time beginning with T5 (the first sampling time) and ending with T29 (end of the experiment). The following equation was used:

\[
SGR = \frac{\ln w_2 - \ln w_1}{t_2 - t_1} \times 100
\]

where \(w_1\) and \(w_2\) are the weights of fish at times \(t_1\) and \(t_2\), respectively.
SGR = average specific growth rate in the group (% \text{day}^{-1}), \ w_1 = \text{weight of particular fish at time t_1 (mg)}, \ w_2 = \text{weight of particular fish at time t_2 (mg)}, \ \ln w_1 = \text{average of the natural logarithms of the w}_1 \text{values}, \ \ln w_2 = \text{average of the natural logarithms of the w}_2 \text{values}, \ t_1 = \text{time (days) at the first sampling time}, \ t_2 = \text{time (days) at the end of exposure}, \ d = \text{day}.

The inhibition of specific growth rate in each experimental group was calculated as follows:

\[ I_x = \frac{SGR_{\text{(control)}} - SGR_x \text{ (group)}}{SGR_{\text{(control)}}} \times 100 \]

\[ I_x = \text{inhibition of average specific growth in the selected experimental group after x days of exposure}, \]

\[ SGR_{\text{(control)}} = \text{average specific growth rate in the control group}, \ SGR_x \text{ (group)} = \text{mean specific growth rate in the selected experimental group}. \]

Test schedule

T0: fertilization of eggs; T4: start of hatching; T6: hatching completed; T7: start of exogenous \textit{(Artemia salina)} feeding; T29: end of the test.

Characteristics of developmental periods

Determination of developmental periods and steps followed Peňáz et al. (1983), who divided early fish ontogeny into nine embryonic (E1-E9), six larval (L1-L6) and two juvenile steps (J1-J2).

Statistical analysis

The statistical software program STATISTICA (version 7.1 for Windows, StatSoft) was used to compare differences among test groups. Prior to analysis, all measured variables were evaluated for normality (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test) and homoskedasticity of variance (Bartlett’s test). If these conditions were satisfied, a one-way ANOVA was employed to determine whether there were significant differences in measured variables among experimental groups. When a difference was detected \( p < 0.05 \), Dunnett’s multiple range test was applied. If conditions for ANOVA were not satisfied, a non-parametric test (Kruskal–Wallis) was used (Zar 1996).

Results

Hatching

Embryos began to hatch on T4, and in the next 24 h (T5) most embryos in the control and in all experimental groups were hatched. No significantly negative effects of DMSO at the concentrations tested on hatching and viability of embryos were found.

Cumulative mortality

Cumulative mortality of common carp samples exposed to DMSO and the control sample was between 12–18\% (Fig. 1). DMSO in the tested concentrations had no negative influence on the early-life stages of common carp mortality.

![Cumulative mortality of common carp individuals (embryos and larvae) during the embryo-larval test.](image)

Fig. 1. Cumulative mortality (% of common carp individuals (embryos and larvae) during the embryo-larval test. Explanations: time (d) = number of days after the fertilization of eggs
**Length and weight growth indicators**

The time course of weight (mg), total length (mm), and FWC of the fish embryos and larvae in relation to DMSO concentration in water are depicted in Table 1 and Figs 2, 3 and 4, respectively. At the beginning of the test (T5) a significant increase ($p \leq 0.05$) of 13% in the average weight of larvae exposed to 5 ml·l$^{-1}$ DMSO compared to the control was observed. However, in the following period, a decrease in weight and length of these larvae was found compared to the control. On the 15th day of the test, fish exposed to 5 ml·l$^{-1}$ DMSO had a 13% lower ($p \leq 0.05$) mean weight compared to the control. In the next sampling time (T21), the difference was 16%, and at the end of the test 21% ($p \leq 0.01$). The differences between the length of fish exposed to 5 ml·l$^{-1}$ and the control remained at 5% till the end of the test. No significant negative effects of DMSO on longitudinal and weight growth of the common carp embryos and larvae were detected at the lower concentration tested.

**Table 1. Growth rate and fish mortality results of the 29 day embryo-larval test on common carp**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fish Group</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMSO (ml·l$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_5$ (mean ± SD, mg)</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.16</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_{29}$ (mean ± SD, mg)</td>
<td>44.92 ± 14.04</td>
<td>50.14 ± 12.66</td>
<td>46.47 ± 11.54</td>
<td>45.98 ± 14.40</td>
<td>35.49 ± 8.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGR</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total mortality (%)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Mean weight ($\pm$ SD) of common carp embryos and larvae throughout the embryo-larval test. Explanations: time (d) = number of days after the fertilization of eggs.

**Early ontogeny and occurrence of morphological abnormalities**

No significant differences ($p \leq 0.05$) in early ontogeny of carp embryos and larvae were noticed among test groups. Early ontogeny indicators of all tested groups were comparable to the control (Table 2).

Furthermore, no significant differences in the type and occurrence of morphological abnormalities were observed in tested fish embryos and larvae during the test.
Discussion

The present study revealed no significant effects of DMSO at concentrations tested (0.2–5.0 ml·l⁻¹) on hatching, viability, morphology and mortality of embryos and larvae. Bogers et al. (2006) found no negative influence on mortality, development and length growth of transgenic zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) in the early life stages when exposed over
a 30 d period to 0.1 ml·l$^{-1}$ DMSO. However, a significant positive effect on weight growth was observed (35% compared to the control). Although not significantly different, a 12% higher mean weight of fish exposed to the lowest concentration (0.2 ml·l$^{-1}$) of DMSO, compared to the control group was found in the current study. Hallare et al. (2006) tested the effect of 48 and 96-h exposure of DMSO (concentration of 0.0001% DMSO (v/v) to 2.0% DMSO (v/v), e.g. 0.001 ml·l$^{-1}$ to 20 ml·l$^{-1}$) on early life stage indicators, such as egg and embryo mortality, gastrulation, somite formation, movement and tail detachment, pigmentation, heart beat, and hatching success and the levels of heat shock proteins (hsp 70) of zebrafish. These authors described no effect of 96-h exposure to DMSO at 20 ml·l$^{-1}$ on mortality of zebrafish embryos, with developmental defects absent at a concentration of 1 ml·l$^{-1}$, which is in accordance to our results. However, 96-h exposure of embryos to 10 ml·l$^{-1}$ DMSO in the above study caused weaker pigmentation of fish compared to the control, whereas concentrations of 15 and 20 ml·l$^{-1}$ increased the occurrence of other developmental defects and resulted in a significant reduction in the heart rate. Embryos exposed to 0.1 ml·l$^{-1}$ DMSO showed a concentration-dependent increase in hsp 70 expression. These authors recommend using DMSO as a carrier solvent in the zebrafish embryo assay at levels below 1.5% v/v (15 ml·l$^{-1}$). However, the solvent level should be below 0.01% v/v (0.1 ml·l$^{-1}$) DMSO according to heat shock protein analysis of the exposed embryos. Pawlowski et al. (2004ab) investigated the effects of DMSO at 0.010 ml·l$^{-1}$ on the reproduction of fathead minnow, and found no effect on both spawning and biomarker responses. However, they reported an approximately 50% reduction in the mean egg production. On the other hand, the acute toxicity of DMSO for juvenile fish is low, and values of 48 and 96hLC50 reach 10’s of g·l$^{-1}$ (Hutchinson 2006).

The above information presented evidence that the effects of DMSO are dependent on fish species, age, type of tests used, and indicators evaluated. This is complicating the use of a mean general value for the concentration of the solvent that could be applied across different conditions.

The above data indicate that the effect of DMSO on fish organism depends on several factors (age of fish, fish species, type of test used, and indicators observed). Therefore, it is not possible to designate a safe concentration which will certainly not affect test results. It can be concluded that in case of using of DMSO as a solvent in a test, it is always necessary to include a control group with the highest DMSO concentration used to ensure that the results were not influenced by solvent itself.

**Raná ontogeneze, růst a mortalita kapra obecného (Cyprinus carpio) při nízkých koncentracích dimethyl sulfoxidu**

Dimethyl sulfoxid (DMSO) je důležité polární rozpouštědlo, které je méně toxické ve srovnání s ostatními rozpouštědly této kategorie. Pro své výborné vlastnosti je DMSO často používán v chemických reakcích k rozpouštění špatně rozpustných látek. Toto rozpouštědlo je využíváno zejména ve Filkensteinových a dalších nukleofilních substitucích. DMSO se
taking advantage of tests toxicity on aquatic organisms as a helper substance for the dissolution of non-soluble substances in water. In these cases, DMSO is typically used at concentrations of 0.2 to 0.5 ml·l$^{-1}$. The goal of this work was to assess the effects of DMSO on the growth, development, and mortality of common carp in the early period of its development. Exposure of test organisms was initiated 24 hours after fertilization and lasted for 29 days, until the end of larval development. The study results showed that none of the tested concentrations had an impact on the mortality of organisms, nor on the incidence of abnormalities. Organisms exposed to concentrations of 0.2; 0.5 and 1.0 ml·l$^{-1}$ showed comparable length and weight growth compared to the control, but in organisms exposed to the highest tested concentration (5.0 ml·l$^{-1}$) there was a significant decrease in weight growth compared to the control (35 ± 9 mg, resp. 45 ± 14 mg).
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