

Comparative data concerning aflatoxin contents in Bt maize and non-Bt isogenic maize in relation to human and animal health – a review

Vladimír Ostrý^{1,2}, František Malíř², Annie Pfohl-Leszkowicz³

¹National Institute of Public Health in Prague, Center for Health, Nutrition and Food, Brno, Czech Republic

²University of Hradec Kralove, Faculty of Science, Department of Biology, Hradec Králové, Czech Republic

³University of Toulouse, INP/ENSA Toulouse, Department Bioprocess & Microbial Systems, Laboratory Chemical Engineering, Auzeville-Tolosane, France

Received June 6, 2014

Accepted November 26, 2014

Abstract

Transgenic Bt maize is a potentially important tool against insect pest in the EU and other countries. Bt maize (e.g. MON 810, Bt 11) which carries the Bt gene is highly resistant to larval feeding of European corn borer, stalk borer, and Southwestern corn borer, depending on Bt toxin (δ toxin) production. Effective measures used to fight pests may often have positive side-effects in that they may also contribute to reducing mycotoxin concentrations. A systematic review has been used for the purposes of evaluating the studies on the reduction of aflatoxins in Bt maize. According to five studies, Bt maize has significantly lower concentrations of aflatoxins than non-Bt maize hybrids, only one study has shown no significant effect of Bt maize. Other studies have shown mixed results (four studies). The results of these studies were influenced by the year of sampling or by using maize breeding lines selected for resistance to aflatoxin accumulation.

GMOs, transgenic maize, aflatoxins, mycotoxin reduction, food safety

Maize (*Zea mays* L.), one of the main cereals, is as source of food, forage, and processed products for industry. Maize is widely cultivated throughout the world, and a greater amount of maize is produced each year than any other grain. Worldwide production reached 875 million metric tons (Mt) in 2012 - more than rice (718 million Mt) or wheat (675 million Mt). The United States produce 31% of the world's harvest; other top producing countries include China, Brazil, Mexico, Indonesia, India, France, and Argentina. Maize serves as a staple food for millions of people and also important source of feedstuffs for domesticated animals (FAOSTAT 2014), providing more than one-third of the calories and proteins in some countries.

The end-use products can be foods such as breakfast cereals, indigenous foods such as tortillas, tamales, tacos, enchiladas, and porridge, snack foods, and feedstuffs; approximately 70% of the world maize production is intended for animal feeds, or for industrial uses. The main maize exporting nations are the USA (53%), China (19%), and Argentina (17%) (Chung et al. 2007).

Stored maize is a man-made ecosystem in which quality and nutritive changes occur because of interactions between physical, chemical and biological factors. Fungal spoilage and mycotoxin contamination are of major concern. Damaged grains are more prone to fungal invasion and, therefore, to mycotoxin contamination as well (Chulze 2010). Maize can be contaminated with several fungal species (e.g. *Aspergillus* and *Fusarium*). These are potential mycotoxin producers. They can produce aflatoxins, fumonisins and zearalenone, or ochratoxin A, zearalenone and aflatoxins in maize for human and animal consumption (Machinski and Soares 2000; Vargas et al. 2001; Strosnider et al. 2006). These mycotoxins belong to the most agriculturally important mycotoxins (Miller 1995; Santos et al. 2009).

Address for correspondence:

Vladimír Ostrý
National Institute of Public Health in Prague
Center for Health, Nutrition and Food
Palackého 3A 62, 612 42 Brno, Czech Republic

Phone: +420 515 577 523
Fax: +420 541 212 953
E-mail: ostry@chpr.szu.cz
<http://actavet.vfu.cz/>

Preventive strategies whose goal is to reduce the impact of mycotoxin in maize food and feed chains are based on using the hazard analysis critical control point systems (HACCP) approach. In order to reduce or prevent production of mycotoxins, drying during storage should take place soon after harvest and as fast as possible (Chulze 2010).

Therefore, this study focused on aflatoxins, a group of mycotoxins which are mainly produced by *Aspergillus flavus* and *A. parasiticus* (Ehrlich et al. 2007). Aflatoxins pose serious health risks to humans and domestic animals. They have both carcinogenic and hepatotoxic properties, depending on the duration and the level of exposure (IARC 1993; Pfohl-Leszkowicz 2009). Chronic dietary exposure to aflatoxins is a major risk factor with regard to hepatocellular carcinoma, particularly in areas where hepatitis B virus infection is endemic. Ingestion of higher doses of aflatoxins can result in acute aflatoxicosis, or in severe cases, even in fulminant liver failure (Fung and Clark 2004).

Transgenic Bt maize is genetically modified maize used around the world. Bt maize is a variant of maize that has been genetically altered to express one or more crystal (CRY) proteins (the insecticidal δ toxins) from the *Bacillus thuringiensis* soil bacteria which are toxic to certain members of the orders Lepidoptera or/and Coleoptera. Bt maize hybrids are highly resistant to European corn borer, stalk borer, and Southwestern corn borer and can also reduce damage caused by armyworm and corn earworm. Bt maize hybrids are a highly effective and economical alternative to conventional insecticide treatments, if targeted pest activity is at economically significant levels.

Bt maize was grown for the first time in the USA and Canada in 1997. Since then, the field area used for GM maize varieties increased to 57.4 million hectares in the year 2013, primarily in the USA, Argentina, Canada, South Africa, Uruguay, Egypt, the Philippines, and South America. Thirty two per cent of the maize production worldwide is now based on GM maize, which is a decrease of 3% compared to the previous year. Two traits are expressed by today's GM maize cultivars: insect resistance and herbicide tolerance. More and more, cultivars are being grown that express both of these traits simultaneously (stacked genes). Plantings of Bt maize grew from about 8% of US maize acreage in 1997 to 26% in 1999, then fell to 19% in 2000 and 2001, before climbing to 29% in 2003, and 80% in 2014. The increases in acreage share in recent years may be largely due to the commercial introduction of new Bt maize varieties resistant to the corn rootworm and the corn earworm, in addition to the European corn borer, which was previously the only pest targeted by Bt maize (GMO Compass 2014).

To date, the only type of GMO grown in the European Union is Bt maize. The first lines of GM maize were approved in the EU in 1997. Spain became Europe's first country to put it to use. Today, 79,269 hectares of Spanish maize production are genetically modified. The Spanish maize crop is used as animal feed. It is, in fact, Bt maize grown actually in four countries: the Czech Republic, Portugal, Slovakia, and Spain. Bt maize crop is used as animal feed (e.g. meal, silage, maize gluten), as raw material for the starch industry (e.g. a starch used in many foodstuffs and food additives) and in industry (e.g. ethanol fuel and biogas production) (GMO Compass 2014).

Anyone who intends to introduce GMOs into the environment for experimental purposes must first get authorisation from the relevant authority in the country where the release is planned. The authority will decide by assessing the environmental and health risks in line with the principles contained in Part B of Directive 2001/18/EC - deliberate release of GMOs into the environment. Up to now, 34 Bt maize events were authorized in the EU. Current, authorized Bt maize events are shown in Table 1 (EC 2014).

Transgenic Bt maize MON 810 contains the Bt gene (*CryIAb*) which produces the CryIAB protein (δ toxin) that is poisonous to insects in the Lepidoptera order, including the European corn borer (*Ostrinia nubilalis* Hübner). This δ toxin is activated in the alkaline environment of the insect's gut and then the insects die within 24–48 h. Effective measures taken to fight pests

Table 1. Current Bt maize authorized in the EU (EC 2014)

Bt maize	Inserted gene
Bt11	<i>Cry1Ab</i> ^a
Bt11×GA21	<i>Cry1Ab</i> ^a
Bt11×MIR604	<i>Cry1Ab</i> ^a , <i>Cry3A</i> ^b
Bt11×MIR604×GA21	<i>Cry1Ab</i> ^a , <i>Cry3A</i> ^b
DAS1507	<i>Cry1F</i> ^a
DAS1507×DAS59122	<i>Cry1F</i> ^a , <i>Cry34Ab1</i> ^b , <i>Cry35Ab1</i> ^b
DAS1507×NK603	<i>Cry1F</i> ^a
DAS59122	<i>Cry34Ab1</i> ^b , <i>Cry35Ab1</i> ^b
DAS59122×DAS1507×NK603	<i>Cry1F</i> ^a , <i>Cry34Ab1</i> ^b , <i>Cry35Ab1</i> ^b
DAS59122×NK603	<i>Cry34Ab1</i> ^b , <i>Cry35Ab1</i> ^b
MIR162	<i>vip3Aa20</i> ^a
MIR604	<i>Cry3A</i> ^b
MIR604×GA21	<i>Cry3A</i> ^b
MON810	<i>Cry1Ab</i> ^a
MON863	<i>Cry3Bb1</i> ^b
MON863×MON810	<i>Cry3Bb1</i> ^b , <i>Cry1Ab</i> ^a
MON863×MON810×NK603	<i>Cry3Bb1</i> ^b , <i>Cry1Ab</i> ^a
MON863 ×NK603	<i>Cry3Bb1</i> ^b
MON88017	<i>Cry3Bb1</i> ^b
MON88017×MON810	<i>Cry3Bb1</i> ^b , <i>Cry1Ab</i> ^a
MON89034	<i>Cry1A.105</i> ^a , <i>Cry2Ab2</i> ^a
MON89034×1507×MON88017×59122	<i>Cry1A.105</i> ^a , <i>Cry2Ab2</i> ^a , <i>Cry1F</i> ^a , <i>Cry3Bb1</i> ^b , <i>Cry34Ab1</i> ^b , <i>Cry35Ab1</i> ^b
MON89034×1507×MON88017	<i>Cry1A.105</i> ^a , <i>Cry2Ab2</i> ^a , <i>Cry1F</i> ^a , <i>Cry3Bb1</i> ^b
MON89034×1507×59122	<i>Cry1A.105</i> ^a , <i>Cry2Ab2</i> ^a , <i>Cry1F</i> ^a , <i>Cry34Ab1</i> ^b , <i>Cry35Ab1</i> ^b
MON89034×MON88017×59122	<i>Cry1A.105</i> ^a , <i>Cry2Ab2</i> ^a , <i>Cry3Bb1</i> ^b , <i>Cry34Ab1</i> ^b , <i>Cry35Ab1</i> ^b
1507×MON88017×59122	<i>Cry1F</i> ^a , <i>Cry3Bb1</i> ^b , <i>Cry34Ab1</i> ^b , <i>Cry35Ab1</i> ^b
MON89034×1507	<i>Cry1A.105</i> ^a , <i>Cry2Ab2</i> ^a , <i>Cry1F</i> ^a
MON89034×59122	<i>Cry1A.105</i> ^a , <i>Cry2Ab2</i> ^a , <i>Cry34Ab1</i> ^b , <i>Cry35Ab1</i> ^b
1507×MON88017	<i>Cry1F</i> ^a , <i>Cry3Bb1</i> ^b
MON88017×59122	<i>Cry3Bb1</i> ^b , <i>Cry34Ab1</i> ^b , <i>Cry35Ab1</i> ^b
MON89034×1507×NK603	<i>Cry1A.105</i> ^a , <i>Cry2Ab2</i> ^a , <i>Cry1F</i> ^a
MON89034×MON88017	<i>Cry1A.105</i> ^a , <i>Cry2Ab2</i> ^a , <i>Cry3Bb1</i> ^b
MON89034×NK603	<i>Cry1A.105</i> ^a , <i>Cry2Ab2</i> ^a
NK603×MON810	<i>Cry1Ab</i> ^a

^aAgainst the order of *Lepidoptera*

^bAgainst the order of *Coleoptera*

may often have positive side-effects in that they may also reduce concentrations of aflatoxins. Reducing aflatoxin presence can have important health as well as economic impacts, especially in less developed countries (Strosnider et al. 2006; Wu et al. 2004; Pazzi et al. 2006). In particular, potential health benefits resulting from aflatoxin reduction in Bt maize could introduce a new dimension to the debate on genetically modified crops (Wu 2006; Pazzi et al. 2006; Abbas et al. 2013). A review on the relationship between Bt maize and concentrations of aflatoxins in harvest was published by Wu (2007).

The present review focuses on current information on Bt maize and the reduction of aflatoxins.

Review procedures

The following research question was formulated: “Is there a difference in reduction of aflatoxin concentrations in Bt maize hybrids compared to the correspondent isogenic plants?” Over 20 studies on aflatoxin contamination in Bt maize and non-Bt isogenic maize grown in USA and Italy published in scientific journals, were collected. A systematic review was applied for selection of relevant studies. Systematic review is a literature review focused on a research question, trying to identify, appraise, select, and synthesize all high quality research evidence relevant to that question. The qualitative criteria for the systematic review of individual studies have included independence and field trial design. Only 10 studies met our requirements (study independence and field trial design).

Wilcoxon paired one-sided signed rank test was used for purposes of statistical analysis of the results.

Results

Data resulting from comparing significant reduction ($P = 0.0009766$) of aflatoxins in Bt maize and non-Bt-maize are summarized in Table 2.

Bt maize had significantly lower concentrations of aflatoxins than non-Bt maize hybrids in 5 studies. These concentrations were $\times 2$ –10 lower. One study showed no significant effect of Bt maize. Other studies showed mixed results (4 studies). The results of these studies depend on the year of sampling or on using maize breeding lines selected for resistance to aflatoxin accumulation.

Table 2. Results of comparison of significant reduction of aflatoxins in Bt maize and non-Bt-maize

Study	Non-Bt maize (mg/kg)	Bt-maize (mg/kg)	Analytical method	Country of origin	References
1	0.041	0.005	Vicam Afla test	USA	Windham et al. 1999
2	NSD ^a	NSD ^a	HPLC	Italy	Masoero et al. 1999
3	0.613 (2000 ^b) NSD ^a (2001 ^b)	0.198 (2000 ^b) NSD ^a (2001 ^b)	Vicam Afla test	USA	Williams et al. 2002
4	0.600	0.190	Vicam Afla test	USA	Williams et al. 2005
5	0.634 (1998 ^b) NSD ^a (1999 ^b) 0.259 (1999 ^b) NSD ^a (2000 ^b)	0.314 (1998 ^b) NSD ^a (2000 ^b) 0.070 (1999 ^{b,c}) NSD ^a (1999 ^b)	Vicam immunoaffinity columns HPLC	USA	Wiatrak et al. 2005
6	0.045 (2003 ^b) NSD ^a (2002, 2004 ^b)	0.012 (2003 ^b) NSD ^a (2002, 2004 ^b)	Veratox Aflatoxin Neogen	USA	Bruns and Abbas 2006
7	0.084	0.013	Vicam Afla test	USA	Williams et al. 2006
8	0.774	0.211	HPLC-FLD	USA	Abbas et al. 2008
9	0.275	0.145	HPLC-FLD	USA	Accinelli et al. 2008
10	0.369 NSD ^{a,c}	0.266 NSD ^a	Vicam Afla test	USA	Williams et al. 2010

^aNon-significant difference

^bCrop year

^cMaize breeding lines selected for resistance to aflatoxin accumulation

Discussion

Although scores of experiments have examined the occurrence of aflatoxins in Bt maize and non-Bt maize, discussion continues regarding the food safety. Quantitative reviews of existing studies are crucial for purposes of better gauging risks and improving future risk assessments.

The primary consideration was not analytical determination but independence, and well designed (aspect) field studies were favoured.

Aflatoxins are produced by various types of *Aspergillus* (e.g. *A. flavus*, *A. parasiticus*, and *A. nomius*). Pre-harvest contamination by aflatoxins is a very complex problem linked to a multitude of biotic and abiotic factors. Therefore, a multi-pronged approach is needed in order to control aflatoxin contamination when field conditions are favourable for fungal contamination. Intense effort with regard to the control of aflatoxin contamination are devoted to the development of pre-harvest host plant-resistance (Cleveland et al. 2003). *Aspergillus flavus* enters the plants primarily through the stigma during flowering, and can contaminate maize even without insect damage. Apart from insect damage, drought stress and individual hybrid vulnerability are more important in determining aflatoxin contamination levels. The combination of drought stress, high temperature and humidity, and European corn borer kernel infestations, favours the production of aflatoxins in pre-harvest field maize (Smith and Riley 1992; Abbas et al. 2007; Kebede et al. 2012).

A significant reduction of aflatoxins in pre-harvest is obtained by optimization of plant resistance, fungicide use, and biocontrol (Cleveland et al. 2003). Studies on *A. flavus*, the agriculturally relevant producer of aflatoxins, have resulted in determining a well characterized biosynthetic pathway, as well as a basic understanding of the organism's life cycle. Unfortunately, these efforts have not resulted in production practices that substantially reduce aflatoxin contamination. Similarly, the use of agrochemicals (e.g. fungicides) results in very limited reduction of fungus or toxin. Thus, cultural management (fertility and irrigation) coupled with aggressive insect management provide the current state of the art for integrated aflatoxin management. The development of resistant hybrids appears to be a very promising technology, but commercial hybrids are still not available. Thus, biocontrol appears to be the most promising available avenue of reducing aflatoxin accumulation. Biocontrol employs non-toxicogenic strains of *A. flavus* in order to reduce the incidence of toxin-producing isolates through competition. To maximize the effectiveness of biocontrol, thorough knowledge of the environmental factors influencing colonization and growth of *A. flavus* is needed. *Aspergillus flavus* does not only colonize plant tissue, but saprophytically grows in the soil on plant residues, as well. These residues serve as a reservoir for the fungus, allowing it to survive winter, and under favourable conditions to resume growth and release new conidia. The conidia can be transmitted through air or by insects to serve as a new inoculum on host plants or debris in the field. This complex ecology of *A. flavus* has been studied but our understanding remains behind what is known about the biosynthesis of the toxin itself. Our limited understanding of *A. flavus* soil ecology is in part due to limitations in evaluating *A. flavus*, aflatoxin, and the biosynthetic genes in the varying aspects of the environment. Current methods for assessing *A. flavus* and aflatoxin accumulation rely heavily on cultural and analytical methods that are low in throughput and technically challenging. Thus, in order to understand *A. flavus* ecology and environmental effects in contamination with a prospect of maximizing biocontrol efforts, it is necessary to understand current treatment effects and to develop methodologies capable of assessing fungal populations (Abbas et al. 2009; Wu and Khlangwiset 2010).

In Nigeria, the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) has obtained provisional registration of the technology under the name Aflasafe™, a mixture of 4 atoxigenic strains of *A. flavus* of Nigerian origin. A single application of 10 kg of biopesticide

Aflasafe™ per hectare in 2009 in the period of 2–3 weeks before maize flowering was sufficient to prevent aflatoxin contamination during and after harvest, and even during grain storage. Aflasafe™ treatments provide long-term benefits and do not need have to be applied every year. Field testing of Aflasafe™ in Nigeria consistently showed a decrease of aflatoxin contamination in maize and in groundnut by 80–90% or even more (Donner et al. 2010; Bandyopadhyay 2010).

In conclusion, it can be stated that as for aflatoxins, the lowering effect of Bt maize is not as pronounced as in the case of fumonisins (Pazzi et al. 2006; Ostry et al. 2010; Abbas et al. 2013). There are, for the time being, few relevant field studies of the relationships between Bt maize and reduction of aflatoxin concentrations. The data can be confirmed in further research studies of comparing significant reduction of aflatoxins in Bt maize other than that with the Bt gene CryIAb and its non-genetically modified conventional counterparts.

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the Ministry of Health, Czech Republic - Conceptual Development of Research Organization (“National Institute of Public Health – NIPH, IN 75010330”).

References

- Abbas HK, Accinelli C, Zablutowicz RM, Abel CA, Bruns HA, Dong Y, Shier WT 2008: Dynamics of mycotoxin and *Aspergillus flavus* levels in aging Bt and non-Bt corn residues under Mississippi no-till conditions. *J Agric Food Chem* **56**: 7578-7585
- Abbas HK, Shier TW, Cartwright RD 2007: Effect of temperature, rainfall and planting date on aflatoxin and fumonisin contamination in commercial Bt and non-Bt corn hybrids in Arkansas. *Phytoprotection* **88**: 41-50
- Abbas HK, Wilkinson JR, Zablutowicz RM, Accinelli C, Abel CA, Bruns HA, Weaver MA 2009: Ecology of *Aspergillus flavus*, regulation of aflatoxin production and management strategies to reduce aflatoxin contamination of corn. *J Toxicol Toxins Rev* **28**: 142-153
- Abbas HK, Zablutowicz RM, Weaver MA, Shier TW, Bruns HA, Bellaloui N, Accinelli C, Abel CA 2013: Implications of Bt traits on mycotoxin contamination in maize: Overview and recent experimental results. *J Agri Food Chem* **61**: 11759-11770
- Accinelli C, Abbas HK, Zablutowicz RM, Wilkinson JR 2008: *Aspergillus flavus*, aflatoxin occurrence and expression of aflatoxin biosynthesis genes in soil. *Can J Microbiol* **54**: 371-379
- Bandyopadhyay R 2010: Higher quality maize with Aflasafe. *Appropriate Technol* **37**: 39-41
- Bruns HA, Abbas HK 2006: Planting date effects on Bt and non-Bt corn in the mid-South USA. *Agronomy J* **98**: 100-106
- Cleveland TE, Dowd PF, Desjardins AE, Bhatnagar D, Cotty PJ 2003: United States Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service research on pre-harvest prevention of mycotoxins and mycotoxigenic fungi in US crops. *Pest Manag Sci* **59**: 629-642
- Chulze SN 2010: Strategies to reduce mycotoxin levels in maize during storage: a review. *Food Addit Contam Part A* **27**: 651-657
- Chung OK, Park SH, Kim Y 2007: Concerted efforts in cereal grain quality improvement. In: Proceedings N° 126 of ICC International Conference on cereals and cereal products quality and safety, Rosario, Argentina, 23-26 September 2007, pp. 52-71
- Directive 2001/18/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 March 2001 on the deliberate release into the environment of genetically modified organisms and repealing Council Directive 90/220/EEC 2001: *Off J Eur Comm L* **106**: 1-38
- Donner M, Atehnkeng J, Sikora RA, Bandyopadhyay R, Cotty PJ 2010: Molecular characterization of atoxigenic strains for biological control of aflatoxins in Nigeria. *Food Addit Contam* **27**: 576-590
- Ehrlich KC, Kobbeman K, Montalbano BG, Cotty PJ 2007: Aflatoxin-producing *Aspergillus* species from Thailand. *Int J Food Microbiol* **114**: 153-159
- EC (European Commission) 2014: EU register of GM food and feed. Available at: http://ec.europa.eu/food/dyna/gm_register/index_en.cfm. Accessed June 6, 2014
- FAOSTAT (Statistics at Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) 2014: World maize production. Available at: <http://faostat.fao.org>. Accessed June 6, 2014
- Fung F, Clark RF 2004: Health effects of mycotoxins: a toxicological overview. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol* **42**: 217-234
- GMO Compass 2014: GM maize in the EU. Available at: <http://www.gmo-compass.org>. Last modified May 7, 2013. Accessed June 6, 2014

- IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer) 1993: IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks of Chemicals to Humans. Volume 56: Some naturally occurring substances: some food items and constituents, heterocyclic aromatic amines and mycotoxins: IARC, Lyon, 599 p.
- Kebede HA, Abbas HK, Fisher DK, Bellaloui N 2012: Relationship between aflatoxin contamination and physiological responses of corn plants under drought and heat stress. *Toxins* **4**: 1385-1403
- Machinski M, Soares LMV 2000: Fumonisin B₁ and B₂ in Brazilian corn-based food products. *Food Addit Contam* **17**: 875-879
- Masoero F, Moschini M, Rossi F, Prandini A, Pietri A 1999: Nutritive value, mycotoxin contamination and *in vitro* rumen fermentation of normal and genetically modified corn (Cry1Ab) grown in northern Italy. *Maydica* **44**: 205-209
- Miller JD 1995: Fungi and mycotoxins in grain: implications for stored product research. *J Stored Prod Res* **31**: 1-6
- Ostry V, Ovesna J, Skarkova J, Pouchova V, Ruprich J 2010: A review on comparative data concerning *Fusarium* mycotoxins in Bt maize and non-Bt isogenic maize. *Mycotox Res* **26**: 141-145
- Pazzi F, Lener M, Colombo L, Monastra, G 2006: Bt maize and mycotoxins: the current state of research. *Ann Microbiol* **56**: 223-230
- Pfohl-Leszkowicz A 2009: Mycotoxins: risk factor of cancer. *Afr J Cancer* **1**: 42-55
- Santos L, Marin S, Sanchis V, Ramos AJ 2009: Screening of mycotoxin multicontamination in medicinal and aromatic herbs sampled in Spain. *J Sci Food Agric* **89**: 1802-1807
- Smith MS, Riley TJ 1992: Direct and interactive effects of planting date, irrigation, and corn earworm (Lepidoptera: *Noctuidae*) damage on aflatoxin production in preharvest corn. *J Econ Entomol* **85**: 998-1006
- Strosnider H, Azziz-Baumgartner E, Banziger M, Bhat RV, Breiman R, Brune MN, DeCock K, Dilley A, Gropman J, Hell K, Henry SH, Jeffers D, Jolly C, Jolly P, Kibata GN, Lewis L, Liu X, Lubber G, McCoy L, Mensah P, Miraglia M, Misore A, Njapau H, Ong CN, Onsongo MT, Page SW, Park D, Patel M, Phillips T, Pineiro M, Pronczuk J, Rogers HS, Rubin C, Sabino M, Schaafsma A, Shephard G, Stroka J, Wild C, Williams JT, Wilson D. 2006: Workgroup report: public health strategies for reducing aflatoxin exposure in developing countries. *Environ Health Perspect* **114**: 1898-1903
- Vargas EA, Preis RA, Castro L, Silva CMG 2001: Co-occurrence of aflatoxins B₁, B₂, G₁, G₂, zearalenone and fumonisin B₁ in Brazilian corn. *Food Addit Contam* **18**: 981-986
- Wiatrak PJ, Wright DL, Marois JJ, Wilson D 2005: Influence of planting date on aflatoxin accumulation in Bt, non-Bt, and tropical non-Bt hybrids. *Agron J* **97**: 440-445
- Williams WP, Windham GL, Buckley PM, Daves CA 2002: Aflatoxin accumulation in conventional and transgenic corn hybrids infested with southwestern corn borer (Lepidoptera: *Crambidae*). *J Agri Urb Entomol* **19**: 227-236
- Williams WP, Windham GL, Buckley PM, Perkins JM 2005: Southwestern corn borer damage and aflatoxin accumulation in conventional and transgenic corn hybrids. *Field Crop Res* **91**: 329-336
- Williams WP, Windham GL, Buckley PM, Daves CA 2006: Aflatoxin accumulation in corn hybrids infested at different growth stages with southwestern corn borer (Lepidoptera: *Crambidae*). *J Agric Urban Entomol* **23**: 97-103
- Williams WP, Windham GL, Krakowsky MD, Scully BT, Ni X 2010: Aflatoxin accumulation in Bt and non-Bt maize testcrosses. *J Crop Improv* **24**: 392-399
- Windham GL, Williams WP, Davis FM 1999: Effects of the southwestern corn borer on *Aspergillus flavus* kernel infection and aflatoxin accumulation in maize hybrids. *Plant Dis* **83**: 535-540
- Wu F, Miller JD, Casman EA 2004: Bt corn and mycotoxin reduction: an economic perspective. *J Toxicol Toxin Rev* **23**: 397-424
- Wu F 2006: Mycotoxin reduction in Bt corn: potential economic, health, and regulatory impacts. *Transgenic Res* **15**: 277-289
- Wu F 2007: Bt corn and mycotoxin reduction. *CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Vet Sci Nutr Nat Res* **2**: 1-8
- Wu F, Khlangwiset P 2010: Health and economic impacts and cost-effectiveness of aflatoxin-reduction strategies in Africa: case studies in biocontrol and postharvest interventions. *Food Addit Contam* **27**: 496-509